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At first glance, Britain is very fertile soil for developing the study and performance of gamelan. Like Indonesia, it consisted formerly of villagers, dependent on oral tradition for the dissemination of skills, crafts, and news. There is the great literary heritage: Shakespeare, for example, is littered with many of the mnemonic and rhythmic devices found in wayang; the respective languages of English and Javanese share a depth and richness that allows for a great deal of play with the sounds, inflections, and meanings of the words; the English class system may be profitably compared to the polite systems of Java and Bali; and then there is the predilection of both cultures for tea—a custom that although it may contribute to a conducive atmosphere does not guarantee us to be more receptive to gamelan.

In fact, as Neil Sorrell’s article suggests, we were slow to respond at first; ten years ago there were no British gamelan. Yet these ten years have seen a spectacular growth of interest reflected not only in the number of group profiles presented in this issue, but also in the variety of activities they describe. Most of the groups have been fostered by the teaching of players who began as members of the English Gamelan Orchestra. In its time, the English Gamelan Orchestra represented the core of knowledge about Javanese gamelan in the country. The pieces by Michael Parsons and Mark Lockett received their first performances during this period (1980-83).

That is not to say that other composers have had no opportunity since then. The York gamelan has been especially active and has featured American as well as British composers in its programmes. Durham, Cambridge, Oxford, and Belfast have concentrated more on the traditional Indonesian repertoire.

There is also a scholarly tradition now, especially at the School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS) in London, the Queen’s University in Belfast, and the University of Durham, where I am endeavoring to maintain a tradition begun by Alec Roth and Sri Hastanto—hard acts to follow, both.

This activity has reached a climax with the presentation of a gamelan by Suharto, President of Indonesia, to the gamelan project on London’s South Bank. The project, directed by Alec Roth, has become a showcase for gamelan throughout the United Kingdom. It is perhaps in this direction that our eyes will turn for new developments in British gamelan, as we enter our second decade.

Finally, I should like to thank all those who have spared valuable time to contribute to this British Balungan. I hope that readers will find these contributions informative and useful for the furtherance of their own interest in gamelan.

Dave Posnett
Guest Editor
REPORT

Pekan Komponis VIII: a national composers’ festival

by Nikhil Dally

The Pekan Komponis has been an annual event in Jakarta for almost a decade, since it began as the Pekan Komponis Muda [Young Composers’ Festival] at the Taman Ismail Marzuki Cultural Center in 1979. Sponsored by the Dewan Kesenian Jakarta (DKJ) [Jakarta Arts Council] and coordinated by Suka Harjana, it has become a major forum for komposisi baru [new composition] in Indonesia.

This year’s Festival lasted three days, November 10-12, 1988, and comprised two concerts, two symposia, and a final discussion. Five composers had been commissioned by Hardjana and invited by Irawati M. Sudiarso, DKJ’s current director, to compose, rehearse, and perform their works for the Festival: Trisutji Kamal, one of Jakarta’s best-known composers and pianists, now a teacher at Institut Kesenian Jakarta (IKJ); Elizar, teacher of karawitan at Akademi Seni Karawitan Indonesia (ASKI), Padang Panjang; Soewarmin, teacher at Sekolah Menengah Karawitan Indonesia (SMKI), Surabaya; Al. Suwardi, teacher of karawitan at Sekolah Tinggi Seni Indonesia (STSI), Surakarta; and I Nyoman Windha, teacher of karawitan at Sekolah Tinggi Seni Indonesia (STSI), Denpasar, and one of Bali’s most popular composers, particularly of dance music.

Two papers were read at the symposia: “Sekitar Komposisi Musik” [“On Musical Composition”] by I Wayan Sadra, composer and teacher at STSI Surakarta, and “Sekitar Masalah Komponis Indonesia Masa Kini” [“On the Problems of Contemporary Indonesian Composers”] by Trisutji Kamal.

The most interesting parts of this Festival were the performances themselves, and consequently it is a pity that there was not room in the budget for more of them. Six works by five composers, lasting a total of little more than three hours, can hardly hope to represent adequately the diversity of new music in Indonesia. This diversity was more than apparent in the pieces performed at the Festival. As I Pande Made Sukerta of STSI Surakarta remarked at the final discussion, each of the composers’ works had a very distinctive character, one which was often particularly associated with the place of origin of the composer.

Kamal’s Lakon, a short cantata for female choir, two pianos

Nikhil Dally was born in Singapore and has lived most of his life in Jakarta. He studied music at the University of Cambridge, and is currently studying Javanese gamelan at STSI Surakarta.

From left to right: Trisutji Kamal, Elizar, I Nyoman Windha, Suka Harjana, Soewarmin, Al. Suwardi.
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(six hands) and percussion, was the only piece of Western-influenced musik, as distinct from traditional Indonesian karawitan—perhaps a reflection of the more internationalist flavour of Jakarta arts in general, as well as of Kamal’s training in Amsterdam, Paris and Rome. Soewarmi’s Lalongedhan was a light-hearted stage work incorporating Madurese and East Javanese vocal melodies. Despite the very traditional nature of its melodic material, it was obviously attempting to break new ground in its dramatic concept. Elizar’s Bakucimang, an arrangement of various traditional Minangkabau styles, and Windha’s Bali Age, for gamelan selundèng, gave a more satisfactory impression than Soewarmi’s piece, perhaps because they were content to remain rooted in tradition and did not attempt any self-conscious experimentalisms.

The two works which were most favourably received by the audiences in general were Suwardi’s Sak-Sake and Windha’s Palapa II. Suwardi’s considerable experience as an instrument-maker and tuner was evident. All the instruments used were designed and built by Suwardi himself: a gambang incorporating a separate resonator for each key, often played with a pair of double mallets, each with two heads fixed a kempyang [a musical interval, approximately equivalent to a Western musical fifth] apart; a sort of gambang besar with large logs instead of keys; sulung, the air for which was passed through small tins of water prior to entering their respective pipes; an oversized rebab; a type of ‘thumb-xylophone’ with large resonating tubes; and various assortments of tuned pipes; some struck partially submerged in water or while being moved in and out of water. The inspiration for these instruments, recounts Suwardi, came when he was tuning a gendèr and he accidentally dropped one of the resonators into a bucket of water, “producing a very attractive sound.” Prior to the first rehearsals for Sak-Sake, Suwardi had already designed and built most of his instruments, though he admitted he “didn’t know yet how to play them.” He had also written some musical motifs for the piece, which were gradually explored in rehearsal with his group. When it became evident that the resulting piece would not be long enough for the purposes of the Festival, Suwardi invented another instrument, learned to play it, and used it as the basis for a new section of music—in the process, completely reordering the piece. Suwardi made no secret of the improvised origins of the piece or of its flexible performance practice, aspects that were commented upon in the final discussion, and that are enshrined in the title of the work, loosely translated as “Whatever You Like.”

Considerable light was shed upon Suwardi’s compositional process by remarks made by Sadra in his paper. Sadra described the compositional process as consisting of three principal stages: exploration [penjelajahan], realization [penggarapan], and synthesis [sambung rapat]. Stage one he saw as characterized by two main objectives: “determining the extent to which one’s instruments have potential as sources of sound, to create
terms which . . . differ from the original ones,” and “broadening or narrowing . . . the traditional vocabulary.”

He added that if the composer’s discoveries as a result of this exploration “do not satisfy his wishes, he must search, explore again, or make his own musical instruments.” Stage three he described as the process of “connecting one discovery [temuan] with another . . . There are several situations which are often met in the course of this synthesis, [for example:] how to connect a melody with a different sound colour; how to join a melodic form in a slow tempo with a melodic form with a more dynamic beat; how to join voices with instruments . . . Answers to the above questions might take the form: collide the two elements . . . the different tempi can intersect . . . [or the] voices and instruments can be superimposed.”

Thus, in both Sadra’s theory and Suwardi’s practice, the parts come first and are later joined together; there is no concept of an overall form which precedes the composer’s discovery. Apart from the initial exploratory stage, then, there is little difference between komposisi [composition] and penataan [arrangement], which perhaps explains the complaint that many Westerners have of the ‘sectionalized’ nature of many Indonesian compositions—Sak-Sake being no exception.

The title of Windha’s piece refers to the sumpah palapa, the oath taken by Gadjah Madah, prime minister of the fourteenth-century Majapahit empire, to unite all the major islands of the archipelago under Majapahit control. The term has since come to be used as a symbol for the unity of Indonesia, a theme which Windha obviously wished to emphasize in his piece. Palapa II was a brilliant compilation of musical styles from several parts of Indonesia. Played on a Javanese gamelan with Balinese gong gedè maltets and using kebyar and pelegongan drums, it encompassed vocal
and instrumental styles from Java, Bali, Sunda and Minangkabau. The piece was also a tour de force of new instrumental and vocal techniques, including fast melodies for sets of kempul, tremolo bonang chords, melodies ranging across the three octaves encompassed by the whole saron family, rapid alternation between pèlog and sléndro, falsetto singing, and polyphonic vocal counterpoint. Despite the heterogeneity of its constituent elements, *Palapa II* had a great degree of cohesion. Certainly in the light of Sadra’s theories of form it stood out as particularly original. The piece contained many elements which might, in Western terms, be called motifs. They were repeated, developed and continued in various ways. Instead of being merely ‘connected,’ they were often alternated to create forms (e.g. *abab*, etc.) that had an internal logic independent of the nature of their ingredients.

For one used to Western methods of musical analysis and criticism, the Festival’s final discussion was trying, at best. Its three and a half hours might have provided an ideal opportunity for coming to terms with the various styles of composition represented over the preceding two days, or attempted to place the works performed in some sort of pan-Indonesian or even worldwide context. It also could have provided a forum for constructive criticism of the works, and for making suggestions as to possible directions for development in Indonesian music. Unfortunately, many of the comments made at the discussion were subjective in the extreme, and consequently oscillated between the vague and the trivial. Atik Soepandi, theorist and teacher of karawitan at Akademi Seni Tari Indonesia (ASTI), Bandung—one of the official Festival *pengamat* (‘observers’)—gave as his primary criterion in judging the pieces presented at the Festival, “Were they communicative or not?” Thus, Kamal’s piece was deemed “not very communicative,” while Elizar’s musicians “moved around too much,” and Suwardi’s instrument frames “could have been improved,” etc. Further time was used up in requiring each of the composers to defend himself against the criticisms levelled.

A glance through the DJK’s book of the proceedings of the previous composers’ festivals, *Enam Tahun Pekan Komponis Muda: Sebuah Alternatif* [Six Years of the Young Composers’ Festival: An Alternative], suggests that this unashamedly subjective style of criticism was not peculiar to the 1988 festival. However, while such subjective criticism may work well when discussing traditional karawitan, it conspicuously fails when applied to komposisi baru; the old aesthetic certainties no longer apply. Some of those present at the discussion voiced similar doubts. One of Soewarmin’s East Javanese supporters asked how objective a critic one could be when trying to judge music incorporating elements from traditions different from one’s own. Thus, by implication, Soepandi’s style of criticism was called into question, and Suka Hardja felt the need to come to his defense: “Pak Atik has been to various places... I am certain that he communicates well with... karawitan, not only works from Sunda but also Java, Bali, and so on.”

Despite these problems, several interesting topics arose. The first of these concerned the degree to which composers should remain faithful to traditional music. The word ‘tradition’ raised a certain amount of confusion. Kamal referred to herself as the only ‘non-traditional’ composer present, presumably because she wrote for Western instruments rather than for gamelan-type ensembles. Perhaps, however, the terms ‘musik’ and ‘karawitan’ are more useful for drawing this distinction. Sukerta’s view was that the terms ‘traditional’ and ‘non-traditional’ were best not used at all in relation to the compositions presented at the Festival.

One of the few people who was prepared to commit himself to a course of development for Indonesian music and to state what he saw as a realistic alternative to traditional styles was Bagong Kussudiardja, one of Indonesia’s foremost choreographers, and a pengamat at the festival. He saw Indonesian music as developing towards the future but firmly rooted in tradition: “Don’t forget that you already have old music at your command. Continuity is a process of building and development.” He complimented Windha and Suwardi for “being familiar with the past, knowing the present, and taking into account the future.” He particularly complimented Windha for *Palapa II* and its pan-Indonesian [nusantara] consciousness: “Music,” he said, “should be written... for the whole of Indonesia and for the world.” This latter point became the subject of quite heated discussion, its most vociferous opponents coming from among Soewarmin’s supporters, who argued that regional styles remain valid within their respective areas of origin,
and that new compositions are an important way of preserving regional styles; people from the regions of Indonesia "have to be selfish in order to allow works from their own regions to flourish." They suggested that composers cannot hope to write good nusantara music before they are able to do justice to their respective regional styles.

The "and for the world" part of Kussudiardja's proposal might have been forgotten had it not been for Jody Diamond (director of AGL in Indonesia as a Senior Fulbright scholar conducting a survey of contemporary Indonesian music and composers), also a pengamat at the festival. She pointed out that there is a "serious lack of awareness of new music in Indonesia in the educational and artistic communities abroad... Too few Indonesian composers are represented in the expanding international repertoire of new music for gamelan... It is the responsibility of the composer to see that their creations can be communicated and understood...so that the music itself can have a life and identity... But if a new composition is played only once... how will it become a tradition of its own?"

Had Diamond's remarks been restricted to aesthetic vagaries, they would probably have been accepted no less readily than Kussudiardja's. However, she went further: "It is possible that through the development and distribution of... various forms of notation, good quality audio and video recordings, written descriptions of ideas and processes, and [through] personal interaction with the composer[s]... artists and audiences around the world can have a more vital, direct experience of Indonesian contemporary arts."

Opposition to Diamond's remarks came mainly from two quarters: First, there were those who objected to the suggestion that Indonesian composers should resort to using written notation in order to communicate their works to foreigners; notation was seen, even by Kamal, as an obstacle to creativity. Diamond tried to assuage these fears by clarifying two points: first, that she was not necessarily proposing notation as a panacea, but merely that means had to be found to communicate Indonesian compositions and that it was up to Indonesian composers to find them; second, that her proposals were not made merely for the benefit of foreigners, but that the communication of musical ideas and processes between the regions of Indonesia was important for the nation as a whole and an essential factor in the realization of Kussudiardja's nusantara ideal.

A more fundamental objection came from Hardjana, who contended that even if the notation question could be overcome there were too many areas of incompatibility between Indonesian and Western musical processes. Indonesian methods of composition, he said, are mainly communal and involve the gradual working out of a piece by the group, whereas in the West a piece is fully determined prior to the start of the rehearsals. According to the Indonesian concept, a work has its identity not only in the notes played but in its performers, its function, and the circumstances of its origin, whereas in the West a work is seen as having a life of its own, independent of whatever external considerations might act upon it. Finally, he said, the Indonesians think intuitively, while Westerners tend to conceptualize musical ideas in rational, factual terms. That these reservations have a certain validity is clear. Otherwise, Diamond's pleas would not have been necessary in the first place. Diamond suggested, though, that the stereotype images of the difference between East and West were less relevant to modern composition than to

**Members of the group from ASKI Padang Panjang performing Elizar's "Bakucimang."**
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traditional or classical styles, and that now, more than ever before, new music and composition could serve as a door through which contact could be made between Indonesian and foreign cultures.

In the last section of his paper, Sadra summarized the condition of new composition in Indonesia as a “half-hearted revolution [pemberontakan setengah hati]. . . a revolution born from a fistful of money. When that gift reaches our hand, only then do we demonstrate our individuality, our radical musical ideas. When this Festival is over, we wait for commentaries and accolades. . . then melt back into a massive shadow of tradition.” Thus he saw as the principal problem of Indonesian composers their inability to make a clean break with tradition. Hardjana concurred, although with an explanation as false as it was irrelevant: “In the West, composers of new music do not work with traditional music. . . they break the connection. . . but here, in our new music, the roots of tradition can still be traced.” Surely though, there is no reason why a traditional musician cannot also compose effective new music, even if that music incorporates traditional elements—as Windha, Suwardi, and many others have proved. The influence of tradition was particularly evident in Suwardi’s Sak-Sake, which used balungan melodies in regular four-note gatra, and even incorporated a pathetan for water-suling and gambang in parallel kempyung.

If there is a half-heartedness in the Indonesian composition revolution, it lies not in the compositions themselves, which are as rich as those of any other country, but in the communication of those compositions. Will Indonesian composers continue to allow their works to be forgotten after their first performances? Or will pieces be written to last? Will performances of compositions be limited to the groups for which those pieces were first created? Or will works spread throughout Indonesia and the world? The late S. D. Humardani, former head of ASKI Surakarta, said in 1972, “We have already determined the direction of development we want, that is to be culturally active in a modern way in the midst of the contemporary world.” Was he right? Or would Indonesian composers, in the process of making themselves “active in a modern way,” lose part of their essential identity as Indonesians? It is left to the composers to answer these questions.

Postscript

In December, 1988, Windha’s Bali Age and Palapa II were recorded by Bali Stereo and are now available in Indonesia and through AGI. Suwardi’s Sak-Sake was given its second performance in January, 1989, at STSI Surakarta. Audio recordings of all the Pekan Komposis and videos of 1988’s performances are in the AGI Archives.
INTERVIEW

"It's not official till the gong is hung": Dr. Sri Hastanto, S. Kar.

by Kent Devereaux

This interview was conducted in early 1987 in Surakarta, Java, shortly after Dr. Sri Hastanto returned from England, and his doctoral studies in ethnomusicology at the University of Durham, to assume the position of Director of the Akademi Seni Karawitan Indonesia (The Indonesian Academy of Musical Arts). In this respect Dr. Sri Hastanto's views are quite insightful as he takes the helm of one of his country's most important traditional arts education institution and charts a new course for it.

In the two years since the interview took place, the plan to elevate the status of ASKI to a full four-year college came to fruition and ASKI now enjoys, along with its sister school in Denpasar, the status of a Sekolah Tinggi [lit. 'high school'; i.e. a college]. Along with that distinction has come a name change, and ASKI is now known as the Sekolah Tinggi Seni Indonesia (STS1). It is hoped that the opinions voiced by Dr. Hastanto will help to shed light on some of the complex problems inherent in any cross-cultural relationship, and specifically on the continued interest by artists around the world in the traditional arts of Indonesia. The original Indonesian was translated by Kent Devereaux.

Devereaux: Last year you became Director of ASKI. What are your plans for ASKI now?

Hastanto: The first order of business is to overhaul the entire curriculum, the contents of the subject matter taught at ASKI. After the death of [the former Director of ASKI] Pak Gendon [Humardani] there was a decline, especially in the course content. The courses were established, but the content of the curriculum had greatly declined. So, the first thing I will undertake is to restore and, if possible, surpass what existed previously. That's the first program.

Then the second program. Outside this country, whether in Europe or in America, ASKI is already very well known, but by comparison within our own country, and moreover within the vicinity of Surakarta, ASKI is not known very well at all. Now, my program is to improve relations between ASKI and the community. I worry that without a program, later formal education will become isolated from the community, and that's something I don't wish to see happen. Before, perhaps if there was a meeting of the artistic community, ASKI didn't show up. Now we would certainly come and participate, in order to become better known. That's the principal program.

Finally, there is another agenda—our relationship with the government. After the government realizes the

Devereaux: Pada tahun yang lalu Bapak menjadi Direktur ASKI [Akademi Seni Karawitan Indonesia]. Apa rencana ASKI sekarang?


Lalu program yang kedua, kami kalau di luar negeri, itu sudah banyak dikenal, baik di Eropa maupun di Amerika, tetapi di dalam negeri sendiri, bahkan di lingkungan Surakarta sendiri, itu lebih tidak terkenal, kalau dibandingkan dengan di luar negeri. Nah program saya
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The capabilities of ASKI, our status can be increased to an accredited college. Basically, an academy in Indonesia is not permitted to carry out a degree program, but an accredited college may bestow degrees. Of course in the past when the artistic tradition began it was encouraged in order to keep the tradition alive, that was the most important thing to the artist. A degree in art was still not emphasized, but now I think we will soon see the emergence of a degree in art. And God willing, after our status is elevated we will be given the right to administer an art degree program, along with the established artists there still are.

Devereaux: So right now is a time for change at ASKI?
Hastanto: Yes, you could say that.
Devereaux: Related to that, what is your philosophy towards teaching karawitan [classical, traditional music] in Indonesia, especially at ASKI? What's important for an artist to know? And, what is the role of the gamelan musician in Indonesia today?

Hastanto: An education in karawitan, that's the most important. Because karawitan is one branch of an artistic tradition, an artistic heritage, that doesn't belong to any other people. It belongs to us. Because of that, our students, foremost, must understand the tradition in an appropriate manner. They have to! They have to have an understanding so that later if the tradition develops, it is not cut off from its roots, so there is a continuance from the root of the tradition. Because of that, starting in the first semester until the seventh semester, a student's schedule is full of traditional studies. Despite that, they are also given the resources to be able to develop the arts inasmuch as they are also given artistic development skills in general. That's not easy, because we have to remember the history of the evolution of our own artistic tradition. So, for example, if we compare the time of Sultan Paku Buwono IX with the time of Paku Buwono X, there are already changes. Change has to continue. Of course if there isn't change, the tradition will become separated from its time. It will not be alive, because it is separate from its age. Like the example of Sekar Macapat Pringgit [a kind of sung poetry]. It is already separate from its time, so it hasn't been able to survive. Whereas sekar macapat itself, the concept still survives. Although, if we compare fifty years ago with now it is already different. However, macapat still survives. The idea of macapat still lives on.

Now, I divide students into several groups. The size of each group by far depends upon the capabilities of each, respectively. However, we are able to carry out a type of education that differentiates. Therefore, we separate students into three groups. That is, first there are the potential artists. The potential artists are only the students that really, truly possess creative strength of the highest order as well as the technique to be in sufficient command of the skills needed to make art. If they are musicians they play well, commanding several of the front instruments, as well as the rest of the instruments. If they are dancers they are excellent dancers. That also goes for those who are dalang.

mendekatkan ASKI dengan masyarakat. Saya khawatir kalau tidak ada program begitu, nanti pendidikan resmi ini terpisah dari masyarakat, dan itu tidak kami kehendaki. Jadi, mungkin kalau dulu pada pertemuan-pertemuan masyarakat seniman itu ASKI tidak muncul, mungkin, sekarang meski kami akan datangi, dan ikut bergaul dengan mereka, Jadi supaya lebih dikenal lagi. Itu program utamanya.


Devereaux: Jadi masa kini jaman perubahan ASKI?
Hastanto: Ya, boleh dikatakan perubahan begitu.
Devereaux: Sehubungan dengan itu, apakah filsafat Bapak tentang pendidikan karawitan di Indonesia, dan khususnya di ASKI? Apa yang penting untuk orang seniman, dan apakah peran seniman karawitan di Indonesia masa kini?


Nah, kami membagi mahasiswa menjadi beberapa bagian. Besarnya bagian banyak sekali, tergantung pada kemampuan mereka masing-masing. Tetapi kami sangat...
After we have taught them the tradition and its development from age to age, we have given them one resource, one skill: to be able to adapt themselves to the times.

merely ‘discard’ them. They are among the few to express an interest in studying karawitan and the other arts related to karawitan, and in Indonesia that can still be said to be rare. If compared to the study of economics, for example, it is dramatic. We are very few by comparison. Although it is still not yet clear to me where they will all go at the time they graduate in economics. [Laughs] Nevertheless, there are still very many that choose to study popular fields. Not that which is useful, but that which is popular. However, I don’t think that’s the only problem, perhaps it’s also a problem of talent. So, if they don’t possess artistic talent, they will also never study the arts. These two aspects are inextricably interrelated.

Now, this second group are educated as managers. If they can administrate, maybe later they can educate others in a similar fashion. So, they will never become the top artists, that’s impossible, because their capabilities and technique are not exceptional. Although actually, there are those who say that technique can be learned entirely through practice. But certainly that’s different, there are people who truly possess the aptitude and skills, who are trained together with those who don’t have the talent and developmental capability. They are very different.

Then, the third group is those who are capable of thinking conceptually as well as administrating the arts. They will become artists, but they will never become ‘top’. Generally, they are not from an artistic family. However, they are able to think conceptually, “Why does gamelan have to have this tuning?” for example, and the like. This is
also very much needed, and later I hope, after ASKI becomes a sekolah tinggi, they will be accommodated by a degree program and will matriculate. They will explore musical concepts within karawitan, dance concepts within dance, and likewise with shadow puppetry. Although, they should not be completely without skills—not able to play, not able to dance, and not able to perform as puppeteers, no. They have to be able to play at an acceptable level. Like myself, for example, if I play I am not of the highest caliber. I command only one instrument well. Besides that, the other instruments I play only adequately. However, in terms of conceptualizing about something I am better than most of my artistic colleagues. This is very important, and this is what I have encouraged, because there are a great many opinions expressed by those with a background in the culture of perhaps Western music, who have studied karawitan maybe just seven years, or ten years, that’s not a lot. Yes, not much at all. It is very different for a person who actually lives here, and who knows our culture’s complexity. That person will have a different viewpoint.

However, I also don’t mean to say that a person who lives within this culture, that their opinions are necessarily correct, necessarily true, that’s not the case. Nevertheless, at the least there will be two divergent opinions which can create a beneficial dialogue. I feel that the ‘insider’ is usually less objective in researching something, but if that person possesses a scholarly attitude, generally he will try to the best of his abilities not to be subjective. However, peoples’ strengths have limits, and sometimes subjectivity is immediately thrown out, and objectivity unconsciously excludes everything else. But, with criticism from others, a person will become conscious of this and will correct it. This doesn’t mean that non-karawitan theories from abroad are bad, not at all! Rather, we now possess two kinds of theory with different backgrounds.

This will be an interesting issue to be examined more closely by this third type of person. Those who study this should be from the artistic community; they should possess academic skills as well as artistic skills, but the fact that they ‘feel’ the culture will make them far better able to reach an objective position concerning concepts about karawitan. . . .

Up until now, the viewpoints of those from this culture have not been widely expressed throughout the world. I think my thesis is one of the few examples. Later, when we differ with Mr. Hood, Mrs. Becker, you, and others, hopefully a new result will emerge which is better than before.

So, why do we have to do this? Well, in Java today most Javanese possess a very self-effacing opinion of themselves. If there is an opinion expressed by a Hollander, an American, a person from wherever, they [the Javanese] seem to believe, “Wow, this is correct.” This is a real weakness. If we rise up and voice our own opinions, with concepts and the like, it will hopefully instill pride and lead to self confidence in our country. When that is the case, the evolution of karawitan will have progressed to the point


Tapi saya juga mengatakan bukan berarti orang yang hidup di dalam budaya itu, pandangannya mesti benar, mesti betul, itu tidak. Tapi setidak-setidaknya ada dua pandangan yang berbeda, ini bisa menghidupkan satu dialog yang baik. Saya merasa bahwa insider [orang-dalam] itu biasanya kurang objektif kalau meneliti sesuatu. Tetapi kalau orang sudah mempunyai watak sarjana, sebenarnya itu dia akan berusaha sekuat tenaga untuk tidak subyektif sebenaryya begitu. Tapi manusia itu kekuatannya terbatas, kadang-kadang terus subyektivitasnya keluar, dan objektivitasnya tertutup begitu, dengan tidak sadar. Tapi dengan menerima kritik-kritik dari luar, itu dia akan sadar, dan akan memperbaiki. Tidak berarti teori dari luar yang bukan karawitan itu jekel, tidak! Tetapi, kita mempunyai dua macam teori dengan latar belakang yang berbeda.

Ini akan menarik sekali untuk dipelajari lagi oleh orang ketiga. Yang mempelajari itu mesti, lho ini pandangan dari, dari kalangan seniman sarjana, jadi dia punya bakat kesarasaan, kesenimanannya juga sedikit, tapi dia merasakan budaya itu nanti akan jauh lebih, lebih
where people will not be merely messengers, merely workers who take orders, but people who can stand on their own. At present, there are still a great many musicians who are only players, who function as workers who are ordered, commanded, hired, and nothing more. I hope the younger generation will change this attitude and be proud. That is not to say I want to copy the West, that to be a 'top' musician one must follow precisely like this, and that others may not have opinions, not at all. That's not the point. Rather, we must possess our own model. Moreover, with that, in our own way karawitan will continue to survive. If we only do things according to the ways of our elders, where karawitan has to remain like that, we will not survive. A great many older people are set in their ways, and suggest to the young, "Don't change that. Don't. It has to go like this. The *irama* is like such and such," and the like. Actually they forget that their grandmothers and grandfathers also changed things. Yes, they changed karawitan, but that change was somehow legal. They admit those changes were legitimate. But if we change something it's now illegal; that's not fair.

"If we only do things according to the ways of our elders, where karawitan has to remain like that, we will not survive."

**Devereaux:** In the past the *kraton* [palace of the Javanese Sultan] functioned as the institution mainly responsible for educating each successive generation of musicians, dalang, and the like. Now *ASKI* and other institutions like it have inherited that function. What's changed?

**Hastanto:** Seen from the point of view of the development of the classic artistic tradition alone then yes, it has declined. In the past, when the *kraton* was still prosperous and relations with the outside world were still distant, music and the other traditional arts formed a basic part of our lives. A person did not feel satisfied to undertake anything without it being accompanied by the arts. For example, when a child was born, on the occasion of their birth, you had to have *art*, as in the Javanese saying, "*yen durung nggangtung gong kaswiri ora resmi*" [It's not official until the gong is hung], or in essence, it's not formal without music. Because it formed a part of everyday life, its development was very rapid. Everyone knew, genuinely knew, the details of the traditional arts. However, after the *kraton* declined, after our independence, relations with the outside world became much closer and the problems of modern technology entered. Our lives have changed, and the function of art has also changed so it no longer forms an mencapai titik obyektitas, konsep-konsep karawitan.... Selama ini dari kalangan yang punya budaya ini belum mengeluarkan pendapat di dunia. Saya kira baru tesis saya, itu saya mengeluarkan pendapat di situ. Sudah, dan ini nanti kalau dikonfronstaskan dengan Pak [Mantle] Hood, Bu [Judith] Becker, Pak Kent [Devereaux] dan sebagainya, mudah-mudahan akan ada hal yang baru muncul, dan lebih baik dari sebelumnya.


**Devereaux:** Pada jaman yang lalu kraton punya fungsi sebagai institusi yang mengajar karawitan, pedalangan, dan sebagainya. Tetapi sekarang, *ASKI* dan institut yang lain punya fungsi pendidikan itu. Apa yang berbeda?

**Hastanto:** Itu kalau dipandang dari sudut perkembangan seni tradisi klasik sendiri itu mundur. Begini, kalau dahulu pada jaman kraton masih jaya, dan hubungan dengan dunia luar masih jauh, musik dan kesenian tradisi lainnya itu bagian dari hidup kami, orang tidak merasa puas kalau berbuat sesuatu tanpa kesenian. Misalnya, anaknya lahir, lalu dia itu, menyambut kelahiran itu mesti dengan kesenian. Kalau belum, kalau dalam istilah Jawa, "*yen durung nggangtung gong Kaswiri ora resmi"* (kalau belum menggangtung gong itu tidak resmi), pokoknya belum—belum sah. Karena ini merupakan bagian hidup, jadi perkembangannya pesat sekali. Dan semua orang tahu, betul-betul tahu tentang seluk-beluk seni tradisi itu. Tapi setelah kraton mundur, kami merdeka, hubungan kami dengan dunia luar dekat, lalu masalah-masalah teknologi modern masuk, dan sebagainya. Kehidupan kami berubah,
integral part of our lives. It is no longer an absolute prerequisite that a person has to have in order to live, like in the past. Now a person doesn’t need to be artistic at all for life to be complete, to be full. Take, for example, a merchant. There are businessmen who don’t think in the least about the arts, because if they can live comfortably, own a home, a car, and the rest, they feel that their life is full. In the past, if it wasn’t “nggantung gong,” a life wasn’t complete. This is the change.

So in light of these changes, it’s not fair to compare life today with former times. It’s different. I don’t think they can be compared, because conditions have already changed radically. Luckily the government was right on the mark, thinking to establish schools and assuming the cultural focus the kraton once possessed. The difference though is that with formal education we can’t formulate a curriculum that can replace the informal education of the past. It will never be the same, to teach will not be enough. In the past, with informal education, soon after a child was born and could begin to walk, if the mother had to work somewhere as a pesindhèn[female singer], she brought the child. When later the mother said, “I can’t bring the child,” the child followed along with the father wherever he was playing. So this became the child’s education, but an artistic education as a way of life, we can’t duplicate that again. We can’t replace the total number of hours that the child received from that situation with the system today. It’s extremely difficult. I don’t know. Now we can provide only a portion of the total time of the former system. Consequently, the tradition has declined, actually deteriorated, if looked at in this way. However, in other respects, we don’t need to feel as if it has declined. For example, the advancement of knowledge within the field.

In any case we can still protect the tradition and manage to keep it alive coexisting with the life of society. Thus, it’s changed, whereas before it formed a part of everyday life that without it life wasn’t full, now the coexistence of the arts with society has become paramount. If we don’t we won’t exist. Moreover, there is no longer a Javanese person, a Sundanese, an Indonesian. It’s already that way, already we don’t possess a clear identity. I think the arts are the only thing we have left. Our language is already corrupted. Javanese has already begun to change, the introduction of foreign languages is already beyond belief, a great many. The only thing that says, “Oh, this is Javanese,” is the music and other traditional arts. In science we are far behind. In technology we are far behind. Perhaps the arts are the only existing defense we have left in the world. Because of that, it is extremely difficult, and more difficult still considering the small group of people who are addressing this problem.

This is the consequence of the decline of the kraton and our stepping forward as its successor. We can not take over the entire function of the kraton, because the situation is already different, it can’t be done. And actually it’s not only just the kraton that functioned as a cultural wellspring kesenian juga berubah fungsinya, bukan bagian dari hidup kami lagi. Jadi bukan syarat mutlak yang harus dipunyai oleh orang hidup, kalau dulu masih begitu, tapi sekarang orang tidak berkesenian pun, hidup, merasa komplit, hidupnya mereka utuh. Pedagang misalnya, ada pedagang yang sama sekali tidak memikirkan kesenian, tapi kalau sudah bisa hidup, sudah punya rumah, sudah punya kendaraan, dan sebagainya, mereka merasa utuh hidupnya. Kalau kami dulu, kalau belum “nggantung gong,” tidak utuh. Ini lalu berubah.

Jadi dengan perubahan itu, tidak wajar kalau kehidupannya dibandingkan dengan masa lalu, itu lain. Dan ini saya kira tidak bisa dibandingkan, sebab situasinya sudah lain sama sekali. Untung pemerintah memang tepat, berfikir mendirikan sekolah-sekolah di bawah pemerintah yang fungsinya mengganti fungsi pusat budaya kraton. Perbedaan ini adalah, kami dengan pendidikan yang formal ini, itu tidak bisa membuat formulasi kurikulum yang bisa menggantikan pendidikan informal dulu. Ini tidak akan cukup selamanya. Jadi kalau suruh mengajar itu tidak akan cukup. Kalau dulu, pendidikan informal, itu lahir, anak itu

“I think the arts are the only thing we have left... the only thing that says, ‘Oh, this is Javanese.’”


Sri Hastanto (left) at a rehearsal.

and a source of artistic education. Certainly it was one center, but there were many other small centers outside the walls of the kraton. Don’t forget the strength of the Chinese community as a cultural center in actually developing that which originated within the kraton. The Chinese community formed a fairly strong creative ‘laboratory’. [Their patronage] developed the creative potential of the gamelan until the gendéran became fluent, the bonang intense, as well as other things, because the creativity of the artists was set loose and free without constraints. Because there wasn’t pressure from the king. The results of this were extremely fortunate for us, and enriched the style of garap [musical treatment] and the like. I don’t know, perhaps there were gendhing permèr [light-hearted musical compositions] that emerged from this situation, perhaps a great many. This is only one example that it was not only the kraton that functioned as a cultural center. Although we must acknowledge that it was by far the largest, and up until now you couldn’t disregard it.

Although no longer possessing its educational function nor being perhaps the sole cultural center, the kraton remains a remarkably deep source, and there is still a great deal that we have to exploit—products of the kraton that can popularize the kraton while at the same time not affronting the prestige of the kraton. Like the dance Beidaya Ketauwas. We are not presumptuous enough to attempt to alter [nguthik-uthik] it, because it is a type of dance that is specific to the kraton, so a beidaya was cancelled in Yogyakarta. We weren’t bold enough to present it. Nevertheless, we study them, we study only in order to study, in order to know. We would not be presumptuous enough to stage these dances in public. We won’t because we know, ourselves, that it would affront the integrity of the kraton.


Tapi memang kita akui, itu salah satu pusat budaya terbesar. Sampai sekarangpun, kita tidak bisa mengabaikan kraton. Kraton, walaupun sudah tidak mempunyai fungsi mendidik, tetapi mungkin juga bukan, bukan satu-satunya pusat budaya, tetapi dia adalah sumber yang dalam sekalik, dan masih banyak sekali yang harus kita kedu, kita keluarkan dari kraton, agar itu memasyarakat, selama itu tidak menyering kehormatan kraton. Seperti Beidaya Ketauwas, kami tidak akan berani nguthik-uthik [menyetuh-nentuh], sebab itu merupakan satu ciri dari kraton tertentu, bedhayah semang di Yogyakarta, kami tidak akan berani ngutik-utik. Walaupun kami belajar, kami belajar, hanya demi belajar, demi tahu, tapi kami tidak akan berani begitu memenangkan di luar, tidak akan, sebab itu akan menyering kehormatan, dan kami tahu diri. Tetapi hal-hal yang bisa kami jadikan bahan untuk mengembangkan yang nantinya itu sebenarnya untuk keluhuran atau untuk dinar kraton itu kraton sendiri, walaupun nanti tinggal sebagai museum, itu masih ada hubungan, oh ini sumbernya dari itu. Jadi orang abad ke-25 nanti masih akan melihat ini sumbernya dari itu. Jadi mengembangkan diri, itu juga demi dinar kraton yang harus tetap memancar, walaupun tinggal museum nantinya, saya tidak tahu, tapi itu merupakan satu sumber yang tidak bisa diabaikan.

However, we can acquire skills in other aspects so that later we can develop and actually promote the majesty and grandeur of the kraton, so that the arts don’t end up as a museum piece, so the arts still have a relationship with their source, and so that people in the twenty-fifth century will see where they came from. So, it is for our own development, as well as out of respect for the kraton, that we continue to develop the tradition. The kraton still might be relegated to a museum, I don’t know, but it forms the wellspring of a tradition that can’t be surpassed.

It’s an inaccurate perception that ASKI and young people outside formal education are destroying the arts of the kraton. However, if those inside the kraton remain content, the traditional arts will die. If they are not promulgated they will be buried; we have to bring them outside the kraton. Likewise, if they merely move outside the kraton, they will die also because there isn’t a suitable environment for them. We have to adapt them to the environment, but so they can still be ‘claimed’ as a possession that was originally from the kraton. We enliven them so that they don’t die and so they still possess an inherited relationship. This is extremely important. So I submit that the viewpoint that we are destroying the kraton tradition is utterly false. Rather, we want very much to maintain the tradition, and if we did not do this it would already be dead. For example, at the last celebration of sekaten [the religious holiday celebrating both the birth and death of the prophet Muhammad], the Sekaten gamelan could not have played fully without our participation. Why were we able to participate? Because we took sekaten from the kraton and we studied it outside the kraton. Likewise, the Gamelan Munggang [an ancient three-tone gamelan] could not be sounded without our assistance. Perhaps those remaining inside the kraton that understand kendhangan munggang [munggang drumming] are only a few. If it were not rejuvenated it would die, but we don’t need to be afraid of it dying because there are already young people, who are still in their teens, studying it and who have plenty of years ahead of them to study it fully. This is a philosophy that is not widely known, but it is what we believe.

Devereaux: What are your thoughts about sending ASKI faculty abroad, and what are the plans to send faculty to countries besides the United States, England, and France?

Hastanto: Essentially, we send faculty to other countries where the Javanese arts are also developing. Because of that we have not yet sent many people to Japan, to the Philippines, to India, and other countries. However, we have sent many more to Europe and America. So the plan in the near future, which is being discussed and implemented, is to send faculty first to Australia. Obviously, this is extremely beneficial. When we send one of our faculty abroad in order to study, they benefit wherever they are. When it assists both sides, it’s preferable. That’s foremost, because when that’s the case the relationship is much more profound than compared to


Devereaux: Bagaimana pendapat Pak Hastanto tentang dosen-dosen yang dikirim ke luar negeri, dan apa rencana lebih banyak dosen-dosen dikirim ke luar negeri, selain Amerika, Inggris, dan Perancis?

“Before I went abroad I saw a hundred problems; after I returned home I saw a thousand problems.”

when a person only studies. Because if they teach also, they
will learn more of the underlying principals of the society,
the customs, and the like. Of course, they become familiar
with Western disciplines, certainly that’s the case. And
because of that, those that we choose to send must have a
strong enough background in their own tradition, so that
they can add the knowledge of the West without becoming
Westernized. But if we send a person who’s only half
mature, he will become a Westerner. That’s for sure. And
usually more Western than a Westerner, that’s generally the
case. [Laughs] Yes, that’s often true. Because of that, we
send people whose understanding of their own tradition is
fully mature so that what they obtain from the West unveils
their own preconceptions. It functions as a tool to open their
minds. Also, in general, they possess tools that are not yet
sharp, and this experience helps us to “sharpen our tools.”

I myself feel that before I went abroad I saw a
hundred problems; after I returned home I saw a thousand
problems. Because my “lens” was sharper after my
experience in the West. That’s not to say that I became
Westernized. But certainly the knowledge I gained could be
put to use on a variety of matters here, in a method
appropriate for here. One kind of analysis at first seemed
very vague to me, but after I saw several analyses, the kind
that I should use became apparent because there are many
different kinds of analysis. Certainly this was a useful
system. But, what system will we use in our own
“operation”, if we were similarly sick? Whose system do we
use to “operate” on karawitan?

With that experience I could differentiate our
problems myself. That doesn’t mean I use a knife meant for
the dissection of Palestrina to operate on Genjong Goling, no!
There is a special knife meant for the job. Because this knife
was developed for this operation, or perhaps it is too sharp
for this operation. It has to possess different qualities. At
first, I was advised that, “You should study plainsong.” The
method of analyzing plainsong was like this, and this,
etcetera. I knew how the style [of analysis] worked. But I
already thought, there’s no way I will ever use this for
[analyzing karawitan]. But, by knowing that, I also knew
then that, when analyzing this I have to use this method,
not that method, and that’s what was important. That
doesn’t mean it’s bad, and that it can’t be used, no! But
what I realized led to the inspiration that I couldn’t use that
tool. It was fantastic when I realized that. . . . If a person
who is lacking in knowledge, who is only “half-ripe”,
returns, normally what they have discovered are new tools
which are then used in order to tear apart something, finally
resulting in the view that it’s preferable if our tuning system
was standardized, for example. This is because they have
used the wrong knife to fix something here that will never
come to pass. This is the classic example. I point this out as
the classic example because it emerged in perhaps the 1950s
and was almost immediately opposed because it’s
impossible, but at the end of this century, here we are in
1987, and still we hear of a doctor who proposes that our
Barat. Sudah pasti ini! Dan lebih Barat dari orang Barat,
biasanya begitu. [Tertawa] Waduhh, biasanya begitu. Oleh
sebab itu yang kami kirim yang tradisinya sudah mantap,
sehingga apa yang ia dapat dari Barat itu membuka
ekertutupan mereka. Sebagai alat untuk pembuka
ketutupan mereka. Juga sebenarnya mereka itu punya tools
[alat], tapi belum sharp [tajam], dan dengan itu sebenarnya
“to sharpen our tools” [mentajamkan alat-alat kami].

Saya sendiri merasa, sebelum berangkat mungkin
saya melihat seratus masalah. Setelah pulang, saya melihat
seribu masalah. Karena lena saya lebih tajam, setelah
mendapat pengertian-pengertian dari Barat. Bukan berarti
saya membaratkan diri. Tapi ternyata ilmu yang saya
dapat itu bisa untuk menggarap apa yang ada di sini,
secara di sini. Jadi semacam analysis [analisis], yang tadi
itu kabur sekali, tapi setelah kami melihat beberapa
analysis, kalau begitu yang saya lakukan mesti begitu,
sebab banyak sekali macam analysis. Ternyata dengan
sistem ini kami bisa menggarap itu. Jadi tidak, akan sistem
ini kami tidak akan di dalam kami mengoperasi, kalau
penyakit begitu, membedah karawitan dengan sistem siapa?

Dengan pengalaman itu kami bisa membedah
masalah-masalah kami sendiri. Bukan berarti kami
menggunakan pisau pembedah Palestina untuk
membedah Genjong Goling, tidak! Tapi ada pisau tersendiri
tentunya. Sebab pisau ini tidak akan mampu untuk
membedah ini, atau terlalu tajam untuk membedah ini.
Jadi mempunyai sifat yang lain. Dahulunya saya juga
pernah diarahkan, “kamu pelajari plainsong [lagu gereja
dari zaman dulu].” Lalu cara menganalisa plainsong, begini,
begini, dan sebagainya. Saya tahu, ya caranya begitu. Tapi
saya sudah berfikir, ini tidak mungkin saya gunakan untuk
itu. Tapi dengan mengetahui itu, saya lalu mengetahui,
kalau begitu yang sana itu harus dibedah dengan ini
caranya, tidak dengan itu, itu yang penting. Jadi bukan
berarti jelek, dan tidak bisa saya pakai, tidak! Tapi apa yang
saya dapat itu bisa membulankan inspirasi bahwa ini tidak
bisa saya gunakan. Sudah baik sekali kalau sudah tahu
begitu. . . . Kalau orang yang datang itu magel, setengah
matang, biasanya apa yang dia dapat, tools baru ini, dia
gunakan untuk merobek-robek ini, akhirnya lalu apa
pendapat sebaiknya embat itu harus tidak ada,
standardisasi, misalnya begitu. Ini karena dia mengambil
pisau itu lalu untuk membenahi di sini, itu tidak mungkin
akan terjadi. Ya, itu contoh klasik. Walaupun itu saya
nyatakan sebagai contoh klasik, sebab itu sudah timbul
sejak tahun 50-an mungkin, dan itu ditentang terus, sebab
itu tidak mungkin. Pada akhir abad ini, ini tahun ’87, masih
terdengar lagi seorang doktor yang menganjurkan embat
itu harus punya toleransi, menekankan begitu, doktor
Indonesia lagi. Ya, [tertawa] yang belajar di Barat. Ini saya
nilai itu kurang, kurang bijaksana. Ada yang bisa langsung
diterapkan, itu juga banyak. Misalnya sistem transkripsi
dan notasi, untuk keperluan penelitian. Jadi kita tidak akan
langsung mendengarkan dan kita analisis, nggak mungkin,
sebelum kita pindah dalam bentuk visual.
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tuning system has to have certain tolerances. He stresses that. Another Indonesian doctor. Yes, [laughs] one that studied in the West. These are values that I feel are less than wise. Meanwhile, what can be applied is enormous, for example, the system of transcription and notation to facilitate research needs. So we don’t have to immediately listen and analyze, that’s impossible, before we transform it into a visual form.

Certainly I wish for my colleagues to be able to go abroad, to be able to gain knowledge overseas, but generally constraints limit the number that are able to go abroad to very few. Every year this is the case. This year at least two more will depart; Sunarno to America, and Santoso to Australia in order to do initial work. Suratno has just returned. Also we normally possess difficulties with language. This is one technical difficulty that is fairly important, so because of this we try to send people abroad to work first in order to polish their language skills within a different community. Later, after they return home, they are processed again, and go abroad in order to study, which can’t be done without the language.

The reason I have this policy is because I myself did this. I worked in Australia and, after I acquired a little skill with English, I returned home and departed again [to England] in order to study. This is better. So in this manner, those that are sent to work can be supervised so later they can study abroad. So it’s not just that as long as a person can, they just work abroad. Although there have been some like that, but generally it is only for a short time, several months, and then they return home. But those that we pick perennially, like Al. [Suwardi], possess enormous potential. Later, after returning home, composing themselves, and compiling their experiences, they already possess a program of what it is they are going to study. Because, it is very clear, that when we go outside the country to study, if we don’t bring a program of study, we will wander and sink overseas. Moreover, we would not know the correct way, and what it is we want. We might be steered by other people who don’t know what our needs are here. “You should take this and this.” That happened with me. Supanggah already had a good idea of what he had to do when he departed. Once there you have a general direction, and by the time they ask what it is you want to write about you are ready. . . . You are already rehearsed, you already know the materials you want, so the whole process can be very quick. Although in this way, after finishing and returning home we often feel unfulfilled. So we return again, in order to study more.

Devereaux: What are your thoughts about the students who come from overseas to study here at ASKI?

Hastanto: Certainly it’s a pleasure to arrange for the foreign students that come to Indonesia. Whether it’s those from America, those from Japan, or from Malaysia, they each possess distinct qualities. Obviously though, this doesn’t mean that all those who come to Indonesia from developed countries like America or England necessarily
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have the motivation to truly study. That’s not always been the case. There are those who come with the intention of studying, but once here their motivation is actually not to study, but other things. There have already been several people like this from England as well as from America, Australia, and New Zealand. However, for those who come to study, who have a ‘target’, and who possess a clear program, it’s much easier for us to be able to serve them, much easier. Those who are not clear, generally we throw our hands up, and try to get out of our sponsorship. When that’s the case it’s quite difficult for us. It’s better if they find another sponsor, so it’s not a hassle later. What’s more there are a great many students from Malaysia, whose ancestors are Javanese, who receive scholarships to study here, but once here they want to visit with relatives. After that, we never see them. My, it’s difficult. Really, really difficult. We feel we won’t have any success with more arrivals, whereas with our foreign colleagues who come to study it’s generally a great success.

"As we give, we gain the experience of discussing what it is that we possess."

The appearance is that we give, but actually we receive something extremely valuable. As we give, we gain the experience of discussing what it is that we possess. At first we didn’t have that experience. We can’t give if we don’t discuss what it is that we possess. Our possessions, for example, are many, but if we only teach insiders, people from here, we only express a small part. Because the other part, the cultural background that completes it, doesn’t need to be expressed; we associate with those who already know. Although, it’s also not always the case that an insider knows, and this is never expressed. But when we are faced with foreign students, they continually query us. We are made aware of deficiencies when we explain things. This is an immensely valuable experience.

Then secondly, is the manner in which they study. Although there are those to whom this does not apply, most of those who conduct research do so far better than ourselves. They are far more precise. Now, this is a problem, I am not reticent to say it, I have been Westernized not to be shy, because this is certainly something we should emulate, that we can use, without losing our own culture, for example, the system of notation employed in research and the like. . . . This is a Western custom, certainly it is a Western custom, but why not use it? If it’s good? Because if it is good, it can help.

Now besides that, we have derived a great deal from those who have come here to study by discussing with them kami merasa itu masih kurang sekali rasanya. Jadi kami harus mengulang lagi, untuk belajar lagi.

Devereaux: Demikian juga apa pendapat Pak Has tentang mahasiswa-mahasiswa dari luar negeri dikirim ke ASK?


Lalu kueda, cara mereka belajar. Walaupun ada yang tidak bisa diterapkan, tapi kebanyakan ketelitian mereka jauh lebih dibandingkan dengan kami, jauh lebih teliti. Lho kalau masalah-masalah ini, saya tidak malu-malu, saya membandingkan diri tidak malu-malu, sebab itu yang memang bisa kita tiru, bisa kita gunakan, tanpa merugikan budaya kami. Itu ketelitian yang begitu sistim pencatan.
the methodology of their research, the gathering and compiling of information, and their presentation of the material they have collected. In general, we are like a warehouse; our people are generally like a warehouse. A warehouse that is a place where things are stored but not ordered in any way, whatever there is, but not organized in a manner for what we need, if there is an organization system, usually it is less than brilliant. So we may just discuss the availability of data, what there is, but the relevance of one thing to another is sometimes beyond our control.

There is one success that I can very clearly express, and that is how our foreign students now here spread our name abroad. They have spread our name quite well to the point that we are famous overseas. Because of this we can begin to form relations with universities in other countries. This is actually thanks to our foreign students, and likewise the research that we are made aware of is thanks to all of them, because generally we are not that active in this way, so far we have not been that active. And this is very fortunate. It would certainly be tragic if ASKI perhaps did not treat foreign students rightly in the past, so when I returned, I put things in order, and have tried to administer difficult matters, not like that, but like this!

I have certainly been looking very closely at the way the degree program is designed in the West. I don’t mean to adopt the Western system, but I look at it in the same way as with the previous example of Palestrina. I look at something and say, that is impossible for us, or we have to have this. In any case we have to look at the arts degree program and how it’s done.

For example in England there is a doctoral program in composition; here it is still not yet possible. If we added a composition degree, most Indonesians would still laugh at the thought of it. They would simply laugh. “What’s this? A degree in composition, that’s not academic work!” Yes, it’s still like that [In Indonesia]. So, we have to develop especially the concepts and theories. If this happens, it will be beneficial, very beneficial. Because in the West things are already developed, but there is not yet a counterpoint. We are producing that counterpoint, so as to make a choir. I have always longed for that. When we reach this point, the West can produce the soprano while we produce the alto, so there is not just one voice.

Notes

1. Pecinan, which commonly refers to the Chinese community, could also be a reference to the kraton musicians themselves who wore caps called peci, and were referred to as pecinan when playing outside the kraton. The editor thanks Widiyanto S. Putro and Nancy Florida for consulting on this point.
TRADITIONS

Gamelan in Britain: the story so far

by Neil Sorrell

Most of this article first appeared in a special music issue of the journal Indonesia Circle, no. 37, June 1985.

Although British interest in gamelan has lagged behind American, Dutch, Australian, and Japanese (the list could go on), the first person from the West entranced by gamelan music appears to have been Sir Francis Drake, an Englishman, while Sir Thomas Stamford Raffles’ contributions, in the form of writings and the importation of gamelan instruments and puppets into this country, were of enormous value. Nor should we overlook the extraordinary cross-fertilization of Benjamin Britten’s genius with that of Balinese gamelan music.

Sadly, however, little or nothing was achieved in the scholarly study and practical performance of Indonesian music. When I returned to England in 1972 after a stay in America and my first trip to Java (studying gamelan performance in both countries), the only oasis in the desert was Dartington College, where the New Zealander, Allan Thomas, borrowed a gamelan for an exhibition and some workshops. When the gamelan, and subsequently Allan Thomas himself, departed the lull resumed. The major breakthrough came about in two ways. The first Durham Oriental Music Festival was held in 1976. Professor Eric Taylor was especially enthusiastic about Javanese gamelan, yet for this festival a lecturer (Ernst Heins) had to be brought over from Holland, and it was not possible to include any live performance. Still, this festival created a new climate, and it was as a direct consequence that the Indonesian Ambassador ordered a complete Javanese gamelan, so that in the future it would be possible to organize performances without the prohibitive cost of ferrying instruments in and out of the country.

As soon as this gamelan (named Kyai Rawameja) arrived in London late in 1977, I contacted the Information Attache at the Embassy, Mr. Kapto Sunoto, with the request to take my students to play simple pieces on it, thereby clarifying the theory I had been teaching. Kapto Sunoto readily agreed and was unfailingly helpful, then and since.

Neil Sorrell was educated at the University of Cambridge and at Wesleyan, where he undertook research into Indian music and developed a strong interest in Javanese gamelan. Since the mid-seventies he has been a lecturer in the music department of York University.

It is no exaggeration to say that without the help and good will of the Indonesian Embassy over these formative years, it would have been very difficult, not to say impossible, to launch the study and performance of gamelan music by British students.

The first workshop, over a weekend in December 1977 with students from the University of York, was followed by others, with students from the University of East Anglia and a Birmingham adult education class run by Jan Steele. As a result of the enthusiasm generated by the latter session, Jan Steele proposed that we organize a group who could meet on a more regular basis and attempt to progress further. He and I invited friends who had already shown an interest in gamelan music, or were otherwise involved, as composers or performers, in the kinds of experimental music which had affinities with it. We first met as a group for intensive rehearsals (at the Embassy) in May 1980, and put on a preliminary concert at Lauderdale House, Highgate, on June 1, under the name of the English Gamelan Orchestra. Partly because we had time to learn only a few gamelan pieces, and partly because we were keen to demonstrate the relevance of the music to Western composition in this century, two piano works with gamelan connections were included. Subsequent concerts mixed traditional Javanese pieces with new works for the gamelan by Western composers. These were usually by members of the group, but there were also works by the American composer, Lou Harrison, and one piece specially composed for us by Michael Nyman. This last work, featured in a tour by the orchestra on the Arts Council Contemporary Music Network in October 1983, marked the climax of the English Gamelan Orchestra’s activities in its original format. We were fortunate to have with us five Javanese musicians, one of whom, Sri Hastanto, had worked with us for two years while a British Council scholar at Durham.

During the three years of the English Gamelan Orchestra’s work with the Indonesian Embassy gamelan, important developments took place outside London. My new gamelan for York, bearing the appropriate name of Gamelan Sekar Petak (White Flower) arrived in York at Easter 1982, and was the first complete Javanese gamelan to be used in a British teaching institution. By this time, Dartington had acquired a set of Balinese instruments, and shortly afterwards Durham received a selection of Javanese instruments in the slendro tuning. Thus the three centres
(Dartington, York, and Durham) which had been striving for so long to realize their interest in Indonesian music in a practical way were able to include performance in their curriculum. Early in 1983, the University of Cambridge was made a present of a Javanese gamelan by the Indonesian government. Fortunately, one of the English Gamelan Orchestra members, David Posnett, was living in Cambridge at the time and could generate enthusiasm for the music and form a group to rehearse and perform. About a year after the arrival of this set, a complete gamelan *gong kebyar* arrived from Bali at the Queen’s University in Belfast, for more than a decade at the forefront of ethnomusicological studies in the British Isles. Annette Sanger, who has recently completed her field work in Bali and who now holds a lectureship in Professor John Blacking’s Department of Social Anthropology at Queens, organized the acquisition of this set and is in charge of it.

Not only are British college and university students familiar with the basic technique of Javanese and Balinese music, but also hundreds of school children all over the country, and quite a few adult “amateurs” (aren’t we all?) have been given opportunities to play. At first workshops were promoted and run by the English Gamelan Orchestra, using the Embassy gamelan, but Dartington has also organized such events, and other sets now in the country have been used by visiting groups from Indonesia. For example, the York gamelan was played by the Sasono Mulyo group from Surakarta in 1982, and the Cambridge and Belfast sets have been borrowed for concerts by Indonesian musicians.

The educational relevance of these workshops is at least equal in importance to actual concerts. There are few types of non-Western music that lend themselves so readily to study and development of musicianship at its basic, crucial level in the West as these ensemble traditions of Indonesia. We are thus at a very exciting juncture in the development of gamelan in Britain, and the assistance given by the graduate students Aryasa (Belfast, 1987-88) and Joko Purwanto (York, 1988-89) to gamelan performance studies cannot be overestimated.

Thanks to another generous donation by the Indonesian government, the Gamelan *Kyai Lebihajiwa* was established at London’s South Bank Centre in 1988, and a large educational programme begun under the direction of Alec Roth. He has been assisted by several expert musicians, among them Joko Purwanto, Sriyanto, Ben and Djumilah Arps, and Simon and Oom Cook. The current Indonesian ambassador, Suharto, an accomplished dalang, has performed *wayang kulit* with the South Bank players and also with the York group. It would be true to say that the centre (or at least the biggest programme) of gamelan studies in Britain has moved back to the capital, while the regional universities (Belfast, Dartington, York, Durham, Cambridge and Oxford) continue to flourish.

---

**Notes**

1. “Raia Douan coming aboard us... presented our Generall with his country musick, which thought it were of a very strange kind, yet the sound was pleasant and delightful.”

**References**

Drake, Francis.

Changes was written in 1981 for the English Gamelan Orchestra. The intention was to write a work which would respect the traditional character of gamelan playing and also be authentically English. The melodic structure is based on the English system of change-ringing. In each successive group of six notes, the positions of adjacent notes are exchanged. The result is a cyclic sequence of seventy-two notes; the second half is the inversion of the first. This seventy-two note sequence is used as a melodic ground. It is played in three pitch ranges: in section A, 6 1 2 3 5 6; in section B, 3 5 6 i 5 (notes 5 and 6 are used twice in each group of six); and in section C, 1 2 3 5 6 i.

---

Michael Parsons (b. 1938) is a composer and performer living in London. He was one of the original members of the English Gamelan Orchestra and co-founder, with Cornelius Cardew and Howard Skempton, of the Scratch Orchestra in 1969.

---

Instrumentation and Performance instructions
The kendang player indicates changes of tempo (slow—fast—slow). The part is improvised; tempos given are approximate. The performance should last fifteen to sixteen minutes.

Two saron and one peking: play the main melody throughout. Peking should play on the beat in sections A and B1, half a beat behind the other instruments in B2 and B3, on the beat in C and transition, and half a beat ahead of the others in A4 and A5.

Demung: enter in line 4 of A1, playing only pitch 3, following its shift in position. In the last group of A1 play the last three notes (5 3 6) and play these three notes through A2, again following their shifting positions. In A3 join the saron and peking playing the complete melody. In B1 again play only the last three notes in the first group (6 5 3) and follow the shifting positions of these three notes.
Mas in 1 Minor
by Neil Sorrell

This piece was composed in June 1986 and first performed at the Cheltenham International Festival, England, in July of that year. It was conceived as a kind of independent sequel to the larger Gerahing Kencana, composed in 1983, which ended with the short flute phrase that begins this piece. (The two titles also correspond, of course.)

The flute can be the usual modern one; but, at the original performance a Baroque (wooden) flute was used, to better effect. The gamelan is reduced to a few instruments (both pelog and slendro, assuming a tumbuk [common tone] on pitch 6).

Performance instructions

The flute should feel free to improvise (stylistically) in repeated sections (this should come naturally to a Baroque flautist!) Note values are not strict, except in the section with a time signature. When gender dyads, kemplu notes, etc., should coincide with a particular flute note, the alignment is indicated by a dotted line. Otherwise, it should be possible to follow the notation. A recording of the first performance is in the Archives of the American Gamelan Institute. The composer is happy to answer any query that may arise.

Symbols for gamelan instruments used
P   pelog
S   slendro
g   gender panerus
G   gender barung
S.  slentham
v   kemplu (note indicated by numeral)
O   gong ageng
Pk. peking
Sr. saron
slightly faster

flute alters phase; repeat 8oe higher ad lib.

arco (2 bows; doublebass or similar); sostenuto

G., g., and Sl. play 2 (P & S together or separately, ad lib.) repeating as necessary to maintain the sound. Continue (with flute) until a stroke on gong suwukan 2 signals everyone to fade to nothing.
The Monkey Puzzle

by Mark Lockett

Buka.   saron 1  6  4 1 3  -  -  6 4 6  4 1 3  -  - 6 4   bonang panerus  -646
   saron 2  -  -  -  6 4 1 3  -  -  6 4 1 3  -  - 6 4   bonang  - 6

A. MM. 72. Repeat a few times, then transition to B. Returning from C, repeat a few times, then to coda after third line.

bonang panerus

43-3 ----- -646  43-3 ----- 3 3  43-3 ----- -646  43-3 ----- 3 3

bonang barung

4 6 - 6 4 6 4 3 3-3 3 3 3-3 -6 4 6 - 6 4 6 4 3 3-3 3 3 3-3 -6

peking

4 1 1 3 3 6 6 4 4 1 1 3 3 6 6 4 4 1 1 3 3 6 6 4

balungan (x=damped note or rest)

4 1 3 - - 6 4 6 4 1 3 - - 6 4 6

colotomy (x=kethuk, N=kenong, p=kempul, o=kempyang, %=slendro and pelog kempyang)

\[ \text{o x \% o x \% N6 o x \% p6 o x \% N6} \]

b. p.

43-3 ----- -646  43-3 ----- 3 3  43-3 ----- -646  43-3 ----- 3 3

bon.

4 6 - 6 4 6 4 3 3-3 3-3 -3- -1 3 1 - 1 3 1 3 4 4 4 4 4 -4 -4 -4 -1

pek.

4 1 1 3 3 6 6 4 4 1 1 3 3 1 1 3 3 6 6 4 4 1 1 3

bal.

\[ \text{4} \overset{x}{\text{3-3-3}} - - 1 3 1 \overset{4-4-4}{3} - - 6 4 1 \]

col.

\[ \text{N3 N3 N3} \]

\[ \text{o x \% p6 o x \% N1} \]

Mark Lockett studied music at York University, in San Diego at the University of California, and at the City University, London. He was a founding member of the English Gamelan Orchestra.
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On last repeat of A, go to coda.

Coda. Repeat 3 or 4 times. Fade to nothing.
B. Slightly faster and louder. Repeat a few times, then to C.

b. p.
\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
\_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5-
\end{array}
\]

bon.
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
- & 5 & 5 & 6
\end{array}
\]

pek.
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
7 & 7 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 3 & 3
\end{array}
\]

bal.
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 3 & 2 \\
3 & 1 & 5
\end{array}
\]

col.
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
o & x & N2 \\
x & o & p5
\end{array}
\]

b. p.
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
\_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5-
\end{array}
\]

bon.
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 \\
- & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7
\end{array}
\]

pek.
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
5 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 5 & 3 & 3
\end{array}
\]

bal.
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 2 & 3 \\
5 & 6 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 5
\end{array}
\]

col.
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
o & x & N3 \\
p6 & N3 & x & o & p7
\end{array}
\]

b. p.
\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
\_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5- & \_5-
\end{array}
\]

bon.
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\
- & 7 & 7 & 7
\end{array}
\]

pek.
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3
\end{array}
\]

bal.
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
7 & 6 & 5 & 7 & 1 & 7 & 5 \\
6 & 7 & \_5
\end{array}
\]

col.
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
o & x & o \\
N7 & p1 & N7 \\
o & x & o
\end{array}
\]
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C. Same speed but quieter. Repeat a few times, then transition back to A.

bonang & bonang panerus tacet

pek.
33 33 33 77 77 77 77 55 55 55 66 66 66 66 55 55 55 55 55 55 77 77 77 77 33

bal.
- - - 1 - - - 3 - - - 2 - - - 3 - - - 1 - - - 5

col.
  o x N2 x o p5

b. p.
- 3 5 3 2--7 --7-- 3 5 3 23-2 3-23 -32- 32-3 2--7 --7-- 3 2 3 5

bon.
- 3 5 3 2--6 --6-- 3 5 3 2-56 -56- 5-65 -65- 2--6 --6-- 3 2 3 5

pek.
3 3 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 5 5 3 3 2 2 2 2 3 3 5 5 6 6 6 6 5 5 5 5 3 3

bal.
- 3 - 2 - 3 5 6 - 5 3 2 - 3 - 5

col.
  o x N3 p6 N3 x o p7

b. p.
7 7 - 7 - - 5 7 6 5--7 --7-- 7 76-7 6-76 -67- 67-- 5

bon.
6 6 - 6 - - 5 7 6 5--6 --6-- 6 6 7-21 -21- 3-12 -12- 5

pek.
3 3 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1

bal.
- 7 - 6 - 5 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 5

col.
  o x o N7 p1 N7 o x o

transition to A: * - 11 -

28 Balungan
The Cambridge Gamelan

by Bill and Sandra Martin

As the university year 1987-88 progresses, the Cambridge Gamelan Society looks forward to the anniversary of the arrival of gamelan Duta Laras at the Music School early in 1983, and the founding of the Society later that year. Gamelan Duta Laras is a complete Javanese court-style gamelan, with both slendro and pelog tunings.

This has been an enriching time for the Society members, both musically and otherwise. Gamelan playing has become an addiction for many of us and our musical understanding and awareness have been enhanced. At the same time strong friendships have been made, and culinary skills have been widened and deepened, thanks to the strong and continuing opportunism of members in having as many parties (self-catered) as occasions offer!

Each year the Society has started with a membership of some thirty players, which has usually dwindled down to a core of around twenty active members. Even so, when performances need augmenting, the Society can call on past members and other friends to join them.

Musical direction

The Cambridge Gamelan has been particularly fortunate with its tutors and music direction. Dave Posnett got the Society off to a very strong start in its first year. When he went to Durham to continue his musical studies, we were most fortunate to have available Dave Hughes, a much travelled scholar and musician, to carry us through for a further year, before Alec Roth took over as the Society’s music director during 1985.

Under Alec’s guidance the Society extended its repertoire and began to use voices as well as hands and minds in the accompanying sindhenan. Although based in London, Alec managed to come to many of the Friday evening playing sessions and led several successful weekend and week-long workshops. The very welcome appearance of Joko Purwanto in 1985 gave us additional experience in learning to play music from the heart as much as from the brain. We have all greatly appreciated and enjoyed his musicianship and talent, as well as his humor and welcome good company.

Repertoire and concerts

The repertoire of the Society has remained firmly traditional, although there are some gentle murmurs from members on the possibility of playing, or at least investigating, Western gamelan compositions. Staple elements in the musical diet have included Ladran Wilujeng and Ladran Mugirnayu, Gendhing Gambirsawit, Lancaran Tropong Bang and Kebogiro, and the suites Kinangkil/Kembang Pepé and Golek Surung Dayung.

Since the inaugural concert in June 1984, when Sri Hastanto was the principal guest musician, the Society has put on a public concert each year. A less formal concert in the form of “A Taste of Indonesia” event was staged in 1985. The event ran throughout an afternoon and evening and included Indonesian food and a show of photographs, puppets, masks, and other artifacts as well as gamelan music.

As part of the Cambridge Dance Festival in 1986, the Society arranged a programme of music and dance with three Indonesian dancers, Tuty Suhadi, Uky Isfandiar and Kunta Wibisana, who performed the two dance suites Golek Surung Dayung and Gathatuka Gandrung.

In February of 1987, there was a second music and dance concert that produced a near capacity audience of over 450 people in the Cambridge Music School Concert Hall. In May we had our London debut—a Sunday lunchtime foyer concert at the Royal Festival Hall. Playing conditions were, as Nikhil our present secretary put it, “authentically Javanese, as the sounds of the audience rang in our ears!” A July summer school culminated in a highly successful wayang kulit performance, with dalang Ben Arps from the Netherlands providing an enthusiastic Cambridge audience with its first taste of shadow puppetry, the story of Karta Wiyoga Maling.
People and organization

Despite appearances to the contrary, gamelan playing requires a lot of organization and Cambridge has been fortunate, in its short history, to have people who have effectively taken on the administrative responsibilities. The first secretaries were Lindsay Dodsworth and Andrea Nixon. They were followed by Cecile Hales. In 1985, Cecile became president of the Society, with Peter Lillington and Anthony Milton jointly taking over responsibility for the growing archive of music and records. Steve Johnson ably mastered our financial strategies for several years, as well as making sure that all dues were paid. Nikhil Dally as secretary and Paul Higgs as treasurer continued the trend of high competence and dedication.

In 1985, Cecile Hales won a travel scholarship for the Society from a Cambridge travel agency. This enabled her, Peter Lillington, and Anthony Milton, to spend time in Surakarta, Central Java, developing skills and their playing knowledge, from which the Society has greatly benefited. Cecile's winning essay was on the problems of too few mallets. From a seeming problem has developed a whole cornucopia of musical riches!

A new phase ahead

Apart from regular playing sessions and concerts (and parties), new developments for the Society could include setting up gamelan workshops with local schools. Several enquiries have already been received and, if time and money permit, this would help to bring gamelan to a wider audience, as well as securing a regular supply of new members to the Society.

Since 1984 the Society has published a newsletter three times a year called PELOG (for Players, Ethnomusicologists and Lovers of Gamelan). This has contained articles from members on music and travel, reviews of concerts, gamelan events and other articles of interest. Subscription is available to non-members, at a modest cost-covering rate, currently £2.50 per year (US $4.00, cash only please); For further information about PELOG or the Cambridge Gamelan Society please contact: Bill and Sandra Martin, Lint Growis, Foxearth, Sudbury, Suffolk, UK. Tel: 0787-75777.

Oxford University

by Glenn Black

Oxford is the youngest of the Javanese gamelan groups in Britain. On the May 17, 1985, Oxford University was presented with the Gamelan Kyai Mas Laras (Venerable Sweet Harmony) as a gift to the Faculty of Music from the Indonesian Minister of Forestry, H. E. Sudjarwo. It's a fine set of high-quality bronze instruments, comprising a full gamelan in both slendro and pelog, made in the early years of this century. It came to us from Klaten, a small town near Surakarta in Central Java, and is possibly the finest gamelan now in playing condition in Britain.

Since its arrival we have formed an Oxford Gamelan Society (under the auspices of Oxford University) and set about learning how to play. Fortunately for us, Nick Gray was back in Oxford from Cambridge, where he had played in the Cambridge gamelan under Alec Roth's guidance. Nick started us off learning our way around the instruments, before Alec was able to take us too under his guidance. It is Alec who has made us what we are; he has travelled down weekly from London to look after a heterogeneous group of people who wished to learn but knew very little, and has patiently taught us the instruments, the techniques, and the repertoire.

We've been fortunate too in three other respects. The Indonesian Embassy in London has been very supportive, and the present Ambassador, H. E. Suhartooyo has been a valued friend and patron of the society by lending us, from time to time, the services of Joko Purwanto, who has taught us all a good deal. The University's Faculty of Music has supported us financially from the beginning, and their grant secured the services of Alec as our regular weekly teacher. Jeremy Montagu, Curator of the Bate Collection of Historical Instruments (where the gamelan is at present housed) has been tireless in his help and support, arranging tuition, organizing weekends and summer schools, and easing our case through the Music Faculty. We have started with many advantages, and ought to be able to develop into a lively and dedicated group capable of bringing to Oxford audiences some of the many delights of gamelan.

The enthusiasm is there already. Our fledgling group has a number of regulars who have been playing for the past two years, and a steady stream of interested newcomers keep turning up. We think we can boast some of the youngest players in Britain, with a twelve-year-old

Dr. Glenn Black is a Fellow and Tutor at Oriel College Oxford, and a Lecturer in English Literature at Oxford University. He acts as senior member for the Oxford Gamelan Society.
veteran regular and keen novices aged six and eight. We meet once a week, with a beginner's group first, followed by a more experienced group.

On the first anniversary of the gamelan's presentation we celebrated by playing three pieces (Ladrang Wilujeng, Lagu Dolanan Menthog Menthog and the suite Irangan Tari Gambiraron) in front of the Indonesian Ambassador and his party, who then returned us the compliment by playing and singing for us. In the summer of 1986 we performed in a marquee one rainy Sunday in one of Oxford's public parks as part of a day of multicultural activities. We had to share part of our marquee with a team of Middle Eastern folk dancers, and faced some stiff competition from an amplified Jamaican steel band at the other side of the park, but, under Dave Posnett's expert guidance, we felt that we managed to hold our own, and were able to hear for the first time the subtle penetrating quality of the instruments in the open air as the music rippled out across the lake. They may just have been escaping from the rain, but a good number of people found their way to our marquee and many of them, of all ages, had a go at banging out the balungan for Lancaran Singa Nebuh.

Our real debut came, however, on March 8, 1987, when we gave our first full public concert in the gracious eighteenth century Holywell Music Room and managed to attract an audience of about a hundred. We played eight pieces, adding to the three we played for the first anniversary: Ganggeuran—Ladrang Roning Tawang and R. L. Martopangrario's Ladrang Enggar-Enggar; using Lancaran Trepongbang as a simple demonstration piece and ending with the Bubaran Udan Mas. The most ambitious piece was Gendhing Gambirawan, played by a gadhoni of our best players. The excuse for the concert was that we were playing in aid of Projek Kelelaun, an Oxford University Expedition to study bats and other cave life in the Tогian Islands in Eastern Indonesia, but it gave us a timely opportunity to test the response of an Oxford audience to traditional Indonesian music. We were very pleased with the result and gratified by the interest we aroused. A professional recording was made, and cassettes are available from Jeremy Montagu at the Bate Collection.

We are now working on building up our repertoire so that we are in a position to do one or two regular concerts a year. We need to become self-sufficient, to earn enough from concerts and subscriptions to establish Alec Roth as our regular teacher and musical director on a permanent basis. We also need to build up our expertise, and encourage our more experienced players to graduate on to the more difficult instruments. As yet only one or two of us can make a stab at the gender or rebab and none of us is far enough advanced on the gambang or suling for a public performance. As is often the case with a university group, there is quite a quick turnover of people and we have already lost some of our better players who have moved on elsewhere. We draw our members from both town and gown, and from both music students and non-music students; before long we hope to have enough permanent players to provide continuity while still leaving room for others to join us for three or four years. One of the advantages of Alec Roth's links with both the Cambridge and London gamelan groups is that we have been able to lure several more skilled and specialized players to join us on important occasions, and we shall no doubt want to continue to do so for some years yet.

The world of British gamelan is still small enough for a real spirit of cooperation to exist between the different groups. We have much in common with the Cambridge gamelan group and already have a reciprocal arrangement of sorts with them. Most of the Oxford players would agree that we have learned a great deal from the gamelan weekends and summer schools held each year in Oxford and in Cambridge; one can get so much more out of even a short intensive course that brings together players of all standards and a good number of teachers. Some of us can be learning the rebab or kendhang while others are rehearsing new pieces or starting off from scratch. It's good too to play all day and then go off together to sample the delights of Oxford's own Indonesian restaurant. The Oxford summer school last year brought together players from most of the British groups, under the guidance of Neil Sorrell, Alec Roth, David Posnett and Joko Purwanto.

What we would like, eventually, in Oxford is some kind of a hall in which we could keep and play the gamelan. We are rather cramped in our present home (which is part of a small museum), and any public performance means a good deal of carrying and rearranging the instruments, or ferrying them by vans through the intricacies of Oxford's one-way traffic system. The Holywell Music Room (England's earliest custom-built music room) is an agreeable place to play in and easily available through the offices of the Music Faculty, but it is rather a squeeze fitting a whole gamelan into it.

It seems to us as if we are poised at the start of an exciting new era for gamelan music in Britain. The foundations laid here are, we hope, sound; our links with
London and Cambridge and the other British gamelan groups are firm and growing; Oxford is twinned with the city of Leiden in the Netherlands, and we have established links with Ben and Djamilla Arps from the Leiden gamelan. With their help and tuition we look forward to the day when we can have a full-scale wayang performance in Oxford, with Ben as dalang and Djamilla leading a singing group. We hope too that having the gamelan linked with the Music Faculty in Oxford will encourage an academic interest in Indonesian music here, and encourage our more advanced students to follow in the footsteps of Nick Gray and apply for grants that will take them to Indonesia and then bring them back here to pass on to us what they have learned. For further information about the Oxford Gamelan Society contact: Jeremy Montagu, Curator of the Bate Collection, Faculty of Music, St. Aldate’s, Oxford OX1 1BD, or Glenn Black, Oriel College, Oxford OX1 4EW.

Javanese Gamelan in Durham

by David Posnett

Musical connections between Durham and Java go back to the late 1970s when the then Head of the Music Department, Professor Eric Taylor, visited the Akademi Seni Karawitan Indonesia (ASKI) in Surakarta and negotiated for a group of gamelan players and dancers to visit England. The result was a spectacular performance at the second Durham Oriental Music Festival of 1979 followed by an enthusiastically received appearance at the Henry Wood Promenade Concerts in London. The group returned again in 1982 to further acclaim.

Developments in Durham soon followed. A set of gamelan instruments was purchased. Alec Roth undertook research into contemporary Javanese music and Sri Hastanto arrived from ASKI to study in Durham for three years on a British Council scholarship—his dissertation now ranks as one of the foremost studies of pathet in Central Javanese music. Both were supervised by Robert C. Provine, a specialist in Korean farmers’ music, who has taught ethnomusicology at Durham since 1978. In 1982, Pak Hastanto began teaching gamelan to interested students and it soon became a special option in the second year of the music course.

The story of the instruments is an interesting one. The Durham Gamelan (as yet not bestowed with a Javanese name) is a near-complete gamelan slendro lacking only a gender panjurs, but it was not originally thought of as a full, integrated set. Most of the instruments were made in 1981-82 by Resowiguno at Bekonang near Surakarta and arrived at Durham during the summer of 1982. At first the gong was a gong kemodhong—the gambang, kethuk/kempyang and gong agung arrived in 1984. However, even this gong agung is in one sense not original. The first idea was to have a brand new gong, which was duly commissioned and made, but apparently it was then swapped with another gong from ASKI which, we are told, had already been “beaten in.” The gambang and kethuk/kempyang are also the work of Resowiguno as was the new gong, but the origins of the “acquired” gong are less certain. Resowiguno was also the maker of the gender borung which, although privately owned, has the same tuning as the rest of the ensemble, so there has never been any problem in using it as part of the set.

When I first came to Durham in the autumn of 1984, the gamelan was temporarily housed in the Philosophy Department, and interest among the students—with the exception of a few faithfuls to whom I shall always feel deeply grateful—was tentative. However, after the long-awaited move to the Old Library (complete with views of the river and Durham Cathedral), things began to improve. More people began to take part, the instruments seemed less homesick, and a performance was given in June of 1985, the programme being shared between the gamelan and an exhilarating demonstration of Korean drumming by Robert C. Provine. Workshops and slide talks had preceded the main event with the result that a much higher level of interest was maintained into the following year. With half a dozen two-hour rehearsals per term supplemented by individual tuition when necessary, I was able to plan more ambitiously, and this culminated in a full-length concert programme, given in the Durham Exhibition Hall, Palace Green, in June 1986. It included Ketawang Rajaswala and Ladrang Pangkur sléndro sanga, Ladrang Dirada Meta sléndro nem and the Iringan Tari Gambiranom sléndro nem together with a very long version of Gendhing Ketut Manggung MInggah Ladrangan sléndro manyara. I was very grateful for the assistance of Alec Roth, Neil Sorrell, and the ASKI musician Joko Purwanto on that occasion.

After I left Durham for Java in February of 1987, the group continued to work with some of the basic repertoire I had introduced. Regular rehearsals led to a lunchtime performance in June 1987, that was preceded by a workshop in which members of the audience were invited to learn and play the first section of Lancaran Masa Liwung. The occasion was enhanced by the presence of Neil Sorrell,
who in addition to playing gender and rebab, gave a talk with slides about his visit to Java to witness the making of the York University gamelan. Shortly afterwards, in July 1987, the gamelan was tuned during the visit of Sutikno and Panggiyo from ASKI. Apparently they complained about the quality of the gong agung.

Since my departure, the Durham Gamelan has worked under the inimitable directorship of Simon Steptoe, a post-graduate composition student whose initiative at this point saved the group from possibly having to disband. He began with three general aims: to extend the repertoire to include some simpler pieces for educational purposes; to attempt to learn new pieces entirely by rote, and to increase the group's theoretical knowledge. More specific aims included a visit to York for the experience of playing in pelog (at last) and several workshops for other students, enthusiasts, and children in the Durham area. After a successful performance at the end of the academic year 1987-88, several of the 'newer' pieces were kept in the repertoire for the following term. Thus new members could be absorbed quickly into the group through the teaching and example of existing ones. By now, not all new members were music students—a most welcome development in view of the declared aim of spreading the word beyond the confines of the University music course. Also, Simon Steptoe and another composition student, Robert Campion, both paid visits to Java during the course of the year. Robert stayed for four months and was able to gain experience as a gender player and make a start on the rebab. Simon worked on kendhang ciblon and made several recordings.

The Durham Gamelan has also kept the direct Javanese contact going—albeit fitfully—in the person of Joko Purwanto, who, after working and teaching in London for a few years, is spending the current year (1988-89) as a graduate student at York University. His assistance will be very much appreciated when this year's activities come to a climax, particularly as we are attempting some new items, including Ladrang Siyem slendro nem and Gendhing Bondhet slendro sanga.

Nevertheless, as I write, the future of the Durham Gamelan is uncertain, despite solid support from the Music Department and the promise of additional instruments in the near future. The problem lies in the flow of expertise—the supply of gamelan research students has dried up. We are a long way from the regular nourishment now available in London and, as with all University activities, important people leave at the end of their courses. Still, over the last two or three years, the group has not only survived, but has made considerable progress on the strength of expertise generated within the group itself, and if this can continue there may yet be no cause to mourn. Information about the Durham Gamelan may be obtained from the Music Department, Palace Green, Durham DH1 3RL, United Kingdom.

Gamelan Sekar Enggal

by Simon Cook

Our set of iron and brass instruments was made by Suhirdjan of Yogyakarta in 1987. It comprises both slendro and pelog tunings. The saron are made from pir mobil [car springs]. This material, exquisitely tempered on the Javanese roads, is much harder to work than plat [sheet iron], as it must be filed as well as beaten, but it sounds better. There are four saron in each tuning, including a saron sanga [a saron with nine keys] in slendro. The two gong suwukan, six Kempul and four Bonang are of riveted iron, with brass bosses. The metal is laquered, not painted, so the brass parts stand out handsomely against the grey iron. The large brass gong kemoohong has a single pot resonator. The brass kenong are not of the usual large pots, but kenong rinting, a set of keys with knobs.

Suhirdjan's painstaking workmanship is most evident in the brass instruments. The gender in particular sound better than many of the bronze instruments that have found their way to the United Kingdom. The gambang and kendhang also sound beautiful.

The frames and stands are of polished teak, with a simple flower motif, from which the gamelan takes its name. The saron cases are of box-like design with two upright end-pieces. As a way to economize on volume for shipment, these were originally only screwed together, not glued and nailed, so that they could be taken apart and packed compactly. The bonang racks were taken apart in the same way. Financial constraints delayed the purchase of the two short gong stands and three of the bonang racks. These should be arriving in London in early April 1989, to replace the homemade ones in use until now.

When the gamelan first arrived in 1987, it was housed at the School of Oriental and African Studies, London.
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University. Unfortunately, conditions at SOAS were somewhat cramped, and the building was closed in the evenings and on weekends. In July 1988 the gamelan was moved to Morley College, an institute of adult education in central London. There the gamelan had a room of its own, not used for other purposes, to which there was always easy access. SOAS now has the use of Ben Arps’ iron gamelan slendro, which is a better size for the space available.

The gamelan has been used for beginning courses at SOAS and Morley College. This pays the rent, as it were. There are also weekly rehearsals for more experienced players. These jam sessions are very relaxed, with plenty of refreshments and chatter. They are both an opportunity for players to let their hair down after the somewhat formal classes and rehearsals at the South Bank, and also a chance to try out interesting (and occasionally over-ambitious) pieces, without having to worry about what needs to be rehearsed for the next concert. Joko Purwanto and Sriyanto from ASKJ have contributed much towards making these sessions both lively and challenging.

The gamelan is rather easier to move than a heavy, expensive, bronze one would be. It has been played at several concerts, workshops, parties, receptions, and at one gamelan player’s wedding. The gamelan will, for the first time, be played complete with its new gong and bonang stands in a concert at the Museum of Mankind in London on April 29, on which occasion it will be given the name Sekar Eanggan.

Anyone coming to London and interested in seeing the gamelan, or joining a rehearsal, is welcome to contact Jenny Heaton at (01) 249-0165.

The York gamelan Sekar Petak

by Neil Sorrell

Since I arrived in York in 1973 I had argued the case for including the study of gamelan in the University and at last, in 1981, the funds were made available to purchase a complete Central Javanesse gamelan. I used a research term in the autumn of that year to go to Java and buy the instruments and also to continue my studies in performance. After an exploratory period, I decided to have a set specially manufactured, and one advantage of this was that it enabled me to observe the whole process of making a gamelan. This set, bearing the appropriate name of gamelan Sekar Petak (White Flower) arrived in York at Easter 1982 and was the first complete Javanese gamelan to be used in a British teaching institution.

The Javanese inauguration of gamelan Sekar Petak took place in Surakarta, Central Java at the home of the maker, Tentrem Sarwanto, on St. Cecilia’s Day, November 22, 1981. This was followed on April 30, 1982 by the English inauguration in the form of a lecture/concert performance in the Lyons Concert Hall in the York University Music Department. The performers were the English Gamelan Orchestra led by Sri Hastanto, who was at this time carrying out his doctoral research at the University of Durham. Since then, the gamelan has not only formed an integral part of the music course at York (nearly all the players are music students) with regular rehearsals throughout the term, but has also proved to be of great educational value outside the University. We have conducted several workshops for school children, other University music departments and adult ad hoc groups (including some psychiatric patients from Leeds). This educational aspect to our work has included some broadcasts as well, most notably the BBC’s “Music Time” and TV’s “No. 73” programme. Just recently (January 1989) we were filmed by Tyne Tees TV, providing a doorbell chime for a forthcoming series of “The Muppet Show.”

Generally speaking, we have always tried to keep alive the old English Gamelan Orchestra tradition of mixing Javanese traditional music with modern Western compositions, partly because of the creative convictions held at York and partly because, without continuous expert Javanese artists in residence (and York is so much further from the Indonesian Embassy than is either Cambridge or Oxford!), our endeavors in the traditional repertoire must remain rather limited. Still, what we may lack in traditional expertise we have tried to compensate for with variety.

Regular performances with the York Gamelan really began in 1984. Several concerts were given in universities up and down the country culminating in a particularly extravagant multimedia event (with music by Mick Wilson) given just before Christmas of 1984 in Manchester Cathedral. That same year also saw a trip to Italy to participate in the Autumn Festival at Como and to give a concert and workshops at Lecco. Back home, we have performed at a number of other festivals including the International Festival at Cheltenham and the Commonwealth Arts Festival at Edinburgh, both in the summer of 1986. In June of 1987, we were able to broaden our traditional horizons somewhat in a performance of
wayang kulit with guest dalang, His Excellency, the
Indonesian Ambassador Suhartoyo, and guest musicians
Joko Purwanto, Sutikno, and Panggilo. This proved a
valuable experience and a year later we were able to
accompany further wayang performances (this time with
Ben Arps as guest dalang and Joko Purwanto as guest
musical director) at the Henley Festival of Music and the
Arts and at the York Festival and Mystery Plays. Other
prestigious events have included concerts and workshops at
the Commonwealth Institute in London, a concert at the
Drumcroon Arts Centre, Wigan (in conjunction with an
exhibition of paintings by Colin Rose) and one at the
Huddersfield Contemporary Festival in November of 1988.

Mention of Huddersfield brings me back finally to
Western gamelan compositions, since our performance
there included the UK premiere of Lou Harrison’s *Concerto
for Piano and Gamelan*. The York Gamelan in fact have a
particular association with Lou Harrison, having
collaborated with him on a number of occasions, most
notably the Cheltenham Festival of 1986 where part of his
*Double Concerto* for violin, cello and gamelan was also given
its UK premiere. Other composers featured by the York
gamelan include Adrian Lee (whose *Alice Songs* was also
performed in Huddersfield), Clive Wilkinson, Michael
Parsons, Michael Nyman, and Anthony Clare, whose *Ngesti
for E-flat clarinet and gamelan* was a highlight of our
performances of three summers ago.

All inquiries concerning the works of these composers
and the activities of the York Gamelan should be made to
Dr. Neil Sorrell, Music Department, University of York,
Hestington, York 401 5DD.

---

**From Bali to Belfast:**
the Queen’s University gamelan

by Annette Sanger

Although to some it may seem a most unlikely place to find
a gamelan, Belfast in fact has the unique distinction of
possessing the only complete Balinese gong kebyar
ensemble in the United Kingdom. To a large extent we owe this good
fortune to John Blacking, professor of Social Anthropology
and Ethnomusicology, who worked hard over a period of
several years to gain financial backing from the University.

Our gamelan gong kebyar at Queen’s has been named
Widia Santi, Knowledge and Peace, a particularly apt name
which was chosen by my teacher, I Wayan Sinti
(interviewed in *Balungan* 10(5)), who gave many hours of his
time in helping me to choose the ensemble. It comprises
twenty-six instruments set in elaborately carved jackwood
cases depicting scenes from the marriage of Arjuna.
The gamelan was made in 1978 in the village of Tihingan (in the
province of Klungkung), where many of Bali’s gamelan are
manufactured, and the carvings were worked in Sukawati
(Gianyar Province).

The original owner of the ensemble was I Wayan
Suweca from Kayumas, Denpasar, a musician probably
known to many of *Balungan*’s readers since he taught
gamelan in America for several years. He had the
instruments custom-made, the tuning being taken from
Anak Agung Mandra’s gamelan in Peliatan. Rather
untypically for Bali, this gamelan was purchased and
owned by an individual for private use in his family
compound. However, a few years later, at the time I was
looking for an ensemble for Queen’s, Suweca needed a
considerable sum of money to carry out extensive repairs
and improvements in the family compound, so he decided
to sell his gamelan to us. Following the long, slow journey
by sea to first London and then Belfast, the instruments
finally reached the University in December 1984. In
addition we received several boxes of dance costumes and
traditional ceremonial outfits for musicians to wear at
gamelan concerts in the future.

There were two main ideas behind the purchase of
the gamelan: to use it in teaching university students, and to
employ it in music-making activities in the Belfast
community at large, particularly with children. It was felt
that gamelan, and other musics from different parts of the
world outside Ireland, would give an opportunity for all
sections of Belfast’s divided community to experience
together music with no sectarian connotations.

Gamelan classes have now become an integral part of
our undergraduate and postgraduate Ethnomusicology
courses at Queen’s University, and we have given one or
two concerts during each academic year. Last year’s was
undoubtedly the best yet, billed as an “Indonesian Evening”
and performed at a local primary school. We were able to
decorate the school hall with Indonesian *kain* pictures,
maps, and two large and colourful Balinese ceremonial
“umbrellas,” and the delicious *sate* and rice served after the
concert added the final touches of Indonesian flavour to the
evening. The musicians, all wearing traditional Balinese
dress, were led by I Wayan Aryasa, a tutor from the KOKAR
Conservatory in Denpasar, who was a post-graduate
Ethnomusicology student at Queen's.

I have to admit that there have been many problems
surrounding the gamelan project at Queen's and possibly
our greatest setback lies in the fact that the university has so
far been unable to provide us with a suitable room in which
to store and rehearse on the instruments. The loudness and
sheer weight of a complete gong kebyar make it necessary
to have both a large and permanent home so that the
instruments do not have to be moved for each practice
session. To date we have only been offered rooms which are
too small, and/or only available for rehearsals at unsociable
hours when other university activities have ceased.
Unfortunately this ongoing problem, over which I have
virtually no control, has hampered efforts to establish
gamelan classes to the extent we had hoped.

Aside from work with university students, we have
had great success in teaching physically handicapped adults
and children. This started as an experiment in July 1985
when the gamelan was integrated with a summer music
course for the physically handicapped in Lisnaskea, County
Fermanagh. The gamelan proved to be particularly suitable
and adaptable in music-making for people of differing
physical and/or musical abilities. Although we stuck as
closely as possible to traditional Balinese methods of
teaching, instrumental techniques and musical repertoire,
certain adaptations were made: pemade and kantilan were
raised on small platforms making them an ideal height for
those seated in wheelchairs; instead of standing, the
hanging gongs were struck by players sitting in chairs and
wheelchairs, the ceng-ceng were played on the lap instead of
on the floor, and the skills of two players were sometimes
combined on one metallophone with one striking and the
other damping.

Participants enjoyed the fact that they were playing
on a homogeneous group of instruments and not just
jumbled percussion. Since the gamelan was unfamiliar to
most of them they were far less inhibited in learning and
playing than with a musical tradition for which they
perceived particular standards of excellence. The exotic,
strange, and beautiful aspects of the music and the
instruments added further to their pleasure. From a social
standpoint as well, the gamelan seemed to open up new
channels of communication between those who were
unable to make verbal exchanges, either because they spoke
different languages or because they suffered from severe
speech impediments or aphasia.

This initial success led its organizer, a neurologist by
profession, to invite us back for a similar course in the
summer of 1987. In addition, in Belfast we have worked and
performed with physically handicapped children from a
local special school. Perhaps our most unusual concert was
in Belfast City Hall where we entertained, surprised, and
attracted serious interest in our work from an audience of

one hundred and fifty British neurologists at the final
dinner of their annual conference.

Looking towards the future, we hope to find a
permanent home for the instruments and then extend our
work both at the University and with different groups in
the wider community. It would be nice to think also that
our work with Balinese gamelan in Belfast may have a role
in fostering deeper and wider cultural links between
Indonesia and Ireland. For further details of our work with
the physically handicapped see the article “Applied
Ethnomusicology: The Use of Balinese Gamelan in
Recreational and Educational Musical Therapy” by Annette
Sanger and James Kippen in The British Journal of Music
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With its large format (10 by 13 inches) and innumerable breathtaking photographs this book might be more appropriate for conspicuous display on the coffee table—a book to be looked at rather than read. Yet, Bali: The Ultimate Island proves to be worthwhile reading despite a slightly flawed text.

The book covers several major topics, including Balinese history, art, music, and cosmology. The author makes no attempt to be comprehensive or to cover these and other topics in any thorough or overtly systematic manner. Rather, Bali: The Ultimate Island is oriented around its photographs and touches upon these many issues from a photo-journalistic point of view—a pictorial essay on Balinese history and culture. For example, the author manages, more through superb photography than any particular argument, to categorize Balinese cosmology into four distinct chapter headings: “Religion,” “Life Cycles,” “Patterns” (referring to Balinese calendrical cycles and spatial orientation), and “Life” (more specifically, the way the Balinese practice their religion in everyday life).

Except for the first and last chapters, the text is generally compelling and reads much like a National Geographic article. The writer describes places and events with vivid, almost cinematographic imagery, lending a sense of immediacy to the text. One almost gets the feeling that Lueras, perhaps, wrote the text as he travelled around the island. The two chapters on Balinese history (entitled “Ancient Bali” and “Contact”) include reproductions of drawings by the first Western visitors to the island and provide an intelligently written introduction to Bali’s sometimes violent past and the role Dutch colonialists had in shaping its present.

The chapters dealing with the visual arts of Bali are by far the most rewarding. Two contrasting themes are presented in these chapters: the world’s view of Bali and the Balinese view of the world. These chapters include examples of unusual early photography and astounding paintings by highly talented artists (including the renowned Walter Spies). It is the exotic ‘other’ that has traditionally interested Western photographers and painters who have come to Bali, and their work reflects this interest, often ranging from the bizarre to the sensuous and even unabashedly erotic. However, the fine studio portraits of R. Ian Lloyd (the book’s main photographer) show that the Balinese people are also, as themselves, interesting subjects. Paintings by Balinese artists reflect yet another perspective of Bali, one that clearly has roots in a mythical past of gods and demons but also looks toward the future. In contrast to the single subject focus of most Western painting, Balinese works capture whole scenes and textures. Perhaps the single most unifying feature of these paintings is their sheer busy-ness and complexity. One senses that these paintings reflect the aesthetics behind Balinese gamelan, a sensitivity toward detail that indeed seems to inform all the arts of Bali.

My only criticism lies with the first and last chapters that seem to have been added sometime later and are stylistically inappropriate to the rest of the book. While the other chapters are written in a general narrative manner, these chapters describe the thoughts and experiences of someone called “the Wanderer,” presumably the author. If Lueras wanted to frame the rest of his text within a story, and thereby draw the reader into his own experiences, I wasn’t very impressed. The third-person voice seems artificial and the chapters read like a cross between a travelogue and a dime-store novel.

Despite my reservations about these two chapters, I would recommend the book—the photography alone is worth the cost (about $35). Those especially interested in Balinese performing arts might be disappointed since the chapter on dance and music is surprisingly brief. However, the book contains many photos of dance interspersed throughout the text, and the appendices and carefully prepared lists of additional listening, viewing, and reading material are valuable to all interested readers.

Rene T. A. Lyslof
University of Michigan


In her recent monograph, Mode in Javanese Music, Susan Walton offers an ambitious examination of pathet (mode) in Central Javanese gamelan music as it applies to sindhenan—female solo vocal music. Specifically, this study describes two different systems of pathet that, according to the author, operate simultaneously: the vocal system used in pesindhen cengkok (melodic formulas) and the instrumental system realized most clearly in the four-beat melodic patterns played on the saron, known as gatra. Walton’s theory refutes a common view that one pathet system governs all vocal and instrumental music in gamelan. She bases her arguments on an analysis of sindhenan and saron melodies in thirty gendhing selected from notation and
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transcriptions of her field recordings. All of the examples presented are in the slendro tuning system.

After a brief introduction to Central Javanese gamelan and its socio-cultural context, Walton describes the fundamentals of sindhenan theory and practice with particular emphasis on melody and text considerations. The discussion focuses on the relationship between sindhenan cengkok and saron gatra, and the repertoire of cengkok and poetic texts from which a singer may choose according to certain prescribed criteria. Of these, the most important factor governing a singer’s choice of cengkok is pathet.

Two principles of pathet for sindhenan cengkok are presented, based on an analysis of more than 250 cengkok. The basic premise of this analysis is that nearly all cengkok are associated with either pathet manyura or pathet sanga, and that those in pathet sanga are lowered one pitch level from those in pathet manyura. Further, pepesindhen cengkok in pathet nem are predominantly composed of cengkok in the other two pathet, though there are some cengkok which are exclusively in pathet nem. Based on this analysis, Walton states that the pathet of any sindhenan cengkok can be easily identified by its pitch level, and that it is therefore possible to predict the pathet of a gendhing from the pesindhen cengkok alone. Her discussion of pathet prediction includes a comparison of cengkok for three gendhings, as well as the pesindhen and saron systems of pathet. Walton concludes that the three slendro pathet operate equally in saron gatra, but that only one—pathet manyura—is dominant in pesindhen cengkok.

*Mode in Javanese Music* is a welcome contribution to the existing literature on this controversial topic. It presents a plausible explanation of pathet which advocates the existence of two similar, yet distinct, modal systems in Central Javanese gamelan music. Once understood, these systems can provide a practical means for predicting the pathet of most gendhing. Although Walton’s arguments are not always convincingly supported by her analyses and musical examples, readers familiar with the subject matter will nevertheless find this monograph to be a stimulating and informative study which should be seriously considered in all further investigations of pathet.

*James L. Giles*

*University of Hawaii*

---

**NETWORK**

**Australia**

Mike Burns writes from the University of Western Australia that the gamelan there has been busy with performances at Murdoch University, Northbridge, and Fremantle (the latter on the occasion of the visit of the Javanese playwright W. S. Rendra), and the performance of a Wayang Kelly, a blend of Javanese shadow puppet theatre with the Australian Celtic tradition.

The newly formed Australian Gamelan Society, whose “aims... are in accord with the current educational objectives of making Australia Asia-literate,” welcomes subscribers to its Bulletin and upcoming AGS Journal. The editor, Sarah Coventry, may be contacted at Box 5, Holme Building, University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia. (A cooperative relationship has been established between AGS and the American Gamelan Institute to facilitate subscriptions and distribution of publications.)

**Indonesia**

The Indonesian government reports that Prince Mangkubumi, 43, eldest of the seventeen sons of the recently deceased Sultan Hamengkubuwono IX, assumed the title of Sultan of Yogyakarta earlier this year.

The 1989 Bali Arts Festival opens on June 16 with a parade leading from Puputan Square to the Werdi Budaya Arts Centre in Denpasar and runs through July 16 on a daily basis. This year’s festival includes sendratari productions of Bangga Lawe and Luhdaka mounted by the Sekolah Tinggi Seni Indonesia (STSI)—formerly the Akademi Seni Tari Indonesia (ASTI)—in Denpasar, and Panji Anggreni and Kurniarakna by the Sekolah Menengah Karawitan Indonesia (SMKI), formerly KOKAR. Highlights of this year’s festival include performances of other styles of Balinese music, such as tektekan, bumbung gebyog, and jegog.

**Netherlands**

A recording of the 1984 Walter Spies Festival, featuring the music of the gamelan gong gedhe of the village of Suluhana, Bali has been issued by Musicaphon (BM 330 SL 2573), as the third in the series *Ritual Music from Bali*. The album was produced in association with the Musicological Institute of the University of Basel. The recording is available for fl 27.50 from the Stichting Walter Spies, Steenstraat 1, 2312 BS Leiden, The Netherlands.

**Switzerland**

Danker Schaareman at the Ethnologisches Seminar der Universität Basel has issued a call for papers for an anthology he is editing on Balinese music. The volume will contain ten to fifteen articles focusing on the context of
music in Bali. Articles have been promised by Andy Toth, David Harnish, Dieter Mack, Annette Sanger, Urs Ramseyer, Komang Astita, I Made Bandem and others, but, as reported in the latest issue of the *Bali Arts and Culture Newsletter*, "there is room for more!" An earlier work in the same series, published in 1976 by Schlager/Öesch, dealt with Balinese ritual seven-tone music. Individuals interested in contributing an article should contact Dancer Scharer at the Ethnologisches Seminar der Universität Basel, Münsterplatz 19, Basel CH4051, Switzerland.

**United States**

*Nusantara Jaya Foundation Quarterly* is a new periodical published by the Nusantara Jaya Foundation as a "showcase of cultural activities related to Indonesia, especially those taking place in the United States." The initial six-page offering, edited by Anne Saxon, contains information about current events related to Indonesian culture as well as information on the upcoming Festival of Indonesia. Individuals wishing to receive the newsletter should contact the Nusantara Jaya Foundation at 325 East 38th St., New York, NY 10016.

*Crown of Rama*, a film documenting an all night wayang kulit performance by the celebrated Yogyanese shadow puppeteer Ki Timbul was recently completed. The film, directed by Malcolm Leigh and funded by the Nusantara Jaya Foundation, is presently in a three-hour, multimedia video format as well as a longer, more lifelike eight-hour version.

Dennis Murphy continues his work building and playing gamelan in Plainfield, Vermont, from where he writes that he has built a second set of gamelan instruments known as *The Voice of Thoom*.

As of April 1, the *Friends of the Gamelan* has a new home at the Department of Music at the University of Chicago. After a five year stay at Roosevelt University, a move to the University of Chicago was prompted by the addition of the ethnomusicologist Philip Bohland to the University of Chicago music faculty. Classes taught by Friends of the Gamelan Assistant Artistic Director Carolyn Johnson are now being offered through the University of Chicago's Office of Continuing Education. The ensemble's annual spring concert was held April 1 on the University of Chicago campus, and featured guest appearances by the Northern Illinois University gamelan ensemble directed by Han Kuo-Huang and Santosa as well as the gamelan ensemble from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, directed by Maria Omo. A wayang golek performance by dalang Valerie Mau Vetter, and featuring guest artists Roger Vetter, Kendang, and R. Anderson Sutton, completed the program.

Gamelan Son of Lion has been busy with concerts in and around New York City this spring including two concerts in the World Music Institute's series that featured new works for gamelan and electronics by Neil Rolnick and Nick Didkovsky. In addition, Gamelan Son of Lion was involved in "a cross-ethnic experiment with the gamelan and American banjo music arranged by Bob Carlin of Philadelphia."

A scholarly conference and festival of Balinese culture will be held June 15-25, 1991 at Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT 06457 in conjunction with the Festival of Indonesia. Planning the scholarly seminar and workshops are Hildred Geertz and Ann P. McCaulley; Fredrik E. deBoer, Professor of Theater at Wesleyan, will coordinate the concerts and performances.

The *New York Indonesian Consulate Gamelan*, directed by Anne Stebinger, performed at Symphony Space in New York City with special guests Sal Murgiyan, Endang Nangwesi, Sumanas, and I.M. Harjito as part of the World Music Institute's spring program.

From the University of Maryland, Baltimore County, comes news that Dr. Mantle Hood has acquired a seven-tone *semar pegulingan* gamelan named Gentle Pinara Putu. Currently, Balinese composer and musician I Ketut Gedé Asnawa and his wife Putu are in residence at the University.

The *Berkeley Gamelan*, directed by Daniel Schmidt, performed a series of three concerts of new music in late May and early June, featuring works by Pauline Oliveros, Steve Reich, John Cage, and Morton Feldman. In the first two concerts they were joined by The *Heavenly Chimes Gamelan Ensemble*, directed by Alex Dea and Laurie Kottmeyer, which played traditional *gadhan* pieces. Oliveros's piece, *Lion's Eye*, was presented in a new version for gamelan plus computer; the piece was presented again in Canada at Simon Fraser University as part of an intensive gamelan workshop, under the direction of the composer, Martin Bartlett, and K. R. T. Wasitodiningrat. The Berkeley Gamelan would like to share repertoire with other new-music gamelans; contact Daniel Schmidt, 1322 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, Berkeley, CA 94709, phone (415) 526-7041.

Garry Kvistad writes: "we have acquired a set of gamelan *gong kebyar* instruments from Michael Tenzer. This Balinese orchestra was originally called *Gamelan Sekar Jaya* and became *Gamelan Sekar Kembar* when Michael and the instruments moved to the east coast. My company, *Woodstock Percussion*, purchased the set in May of 1988. Our group consists of employees of Woodstock Percussion (50%) and other interested friends (50%). We rehearse in full once a week and hold additional sections. Once a month, we bring in Komang Astita and Putu Lastini from their residency at the University of Montreal for an all day rehearsal of gamelan and dance. This group is the first of its kind in our area and we hope to perform yearly for the Woodstock community. I do believe Woodstock Percussion (seventy-five employees) is the first American corporation to have a resident gamelan offered as an employment benefit!"

*Periplus Editions* in Berkeley, California will be publishing several books of possible interest to *Balungan* readers later this year. Among the titles now in progress...
are The Wayang World of Indonesia by Rene Wassing, Traditional Textiles of Indonesia by Rita Wassing-Visser, and Monumental Bali, by A.J. Bernet-Kemper. For further information about these titles contact Eric Oey, Periplus Editions, 1655 Scenic Ave., Berkeley, CA 94709, (415) 540-0146.

The new revised edition of Bill Dalton’s Indonesia Handbook is available from Moon Publications, 722 Wall St., Chico, CA 95928 for $17.95 plus $4 for shipping.

Gamelan Pacifica, under the direction of Jarrad Powell, presented a concert of new works for gamelan on April 9 at the Cornish College of the Arts in Seattle, Washington. The program featured guest artists Beverly Martin, violin, and Endo Suanda, kendang and included the compositions Philemon and Baulis and For the Pleasure of Ovid’s Changes by Lou Harrison, and the premiere of Jon Kelieho’s Gong Kendali Shakti.

Han Kuo-Huang reports that the Northern Illinois University gamelan acquired the name Kyai Sekar Gadhung at a special ceremony and concert given on April 30. The ensemble, directed by Santosa, performed traditional Javanese repertoire at the concert which included special appearances by guest dancer Valerie Mau Vetter and guest artist Roger Vetter.

The San Diego State University Gamelan Rain of Love, under the artistic direction of Robert E. Brown, presented a concert of Javanese music and dance and a wayang kulit shadow puppet play as part of their spring programs. The concert of music and dance featured guest artists K.R.T. Wasitodiningrat, Widiyanto, Sarna, and Laurie Kottmeyer, and guest dancers Ben Suharto, Nyoman Wenten, and Nanik Wenten. The wayang kulit performance, The Awakening of Kumbakarna, was performed by dalang Widiyanto and the ensemble, under the direction of Sarna. The shadow play was narrated by William Zeiger and was staged with the assistance of guest artists Ben Brinner, Dan Kelly, Alex Dea, and Laurie Kottmeyer.

The University of Delaware, in Newark, Delaware has a new gamelan constructed by and under the direction of Dr. Michael A. Zinn, Associate Professor of Music. Gamelan Lake of the Silver Bear is used for teaching both beginning and advanced gamelan classes at the University.

A program of tembang Sunda by guest artist Sean Williams along with Cirebonese gamelan and lopèng dance with guest artist Undang Sumarna, kendang, and guest dancer Marjie Suanda was presented on April 11 by the University of Washington gamelan ensemble under the direction of Endo Suanda. The program also featured a performance by Adebisi Adeleke and a Nigerian dùndùn drum ensemble.

Jody Diamond and Larry Polansky produced three radio programs for KPFA in Berkeley, CA on “New and Experimental Music by Indonesian Composers”. This was based on a year of recent research in Indonesia, as were two recent articles by Jody Diamond, “Indonesian Composers or International Composers?” in Cum Notis Variorum (UC:

Berkeley Music Library, no. 138, October 1989) and “New Music from Indonesia” in the KPPA Folio, November 1989. Copies of the articles and a program listing are available on request from AGI.

Festival of Indoneisa

Festival of Indonesia performing arts coordinator Rachel Cooper recently announced the plans for the performing arts component of the upcoming Festival of Indonesia in 1990-91.

Of the twelve groups scheduled to tour the United States during the tenure of the Festival of Indonesia, by far the largest group will be a special wayang wong and wayang kulit troupe from Kraton Yogyakarta, Java. The sixty-four member troupe, scheduled to tour the U. S. in September of 1990, will also perform the Javanese court dances, bedhaya and beksan golek.

In September and October of 1990 a group of twenty-two musicians and dancers, ages 10 to 14, will present traditional gamelan music and dance of Bali, under the name Children of Bali. There are also plans to bring a thirteen-member Sundanese wayang golek and music troupe to the United States during that same time period.

During February and March of 1991 the masked dance tradition and music of West Java will be featured in performances by an eighteen member ensemble. In April of 1991, the music and dance of Sumatra will be presented by a thirty-four member company performing both the saman and seudati traditions of the Aceh in North Sumatra as well as the dance of the Minangkabau people of West Sumatra.

In June of 1991, a twenty-two member troupe will present the dance of the Dayak people of East Kalimantan. June and July of 1991 will also be when a twenty-two member company of dancers will tour the U. S. to present a survey of Indonesian contemporary dance. However, perhaps the highlight of the summer will be the forty-member troupe of Balinese musicians and dancers who will perform kecak and legong keraton.

In September and October of 1991, a special thirty-member troupe of musicians from the Sekolah Tinggi Seni Indonesia (STSI) colleges of art in Java, Bali, and Sumatra will present a program of new music from Indonesia. The group will also perform the traditional repertoire from each of the regions represented. Finally, in November of 1991, a seven-member troupe of Batak musicians will present performances of the gondang hasapi music of northern Sumatra.

Plans are also being made to bring two additional groups: the Sardon Dance Theater and an as-yet-undetermined Indonesian contemporary theater ensemble.
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